

То:	Gerry Leighton, Head of Stations, Depots and Network Code Office of Rail and Road One Kemble Street London WC2B 4AN	Tel: Email:	DABoffice@networkrail.co.uk
cc:	Richard Morris Chairman, Delay Attribution Board. Margret Child - ORR	Date:	15 <sup>th</sup> February 2018

# Submission of proposals for change to the December 2017 Delay Attribution Principles and Rules

#### Dear Gerry,

I am writing to seek ORR approval for a number of Proposals to change the Delay Attribution Principles and Rules in accordance with Track Access Condition B2.7.2.

Please find appended to this letter details of the following Proposals for Change:

- DAB P299 Service Recovery Activities
- DAB P300 Failed Train Recovery
- DAB P302 Externals
- NR P195 OP removal

The details provided for each proposal consist of the following information:

- 1 The Proposal for Change from the sponsor.
- 2 The industry responses to the Proposal for Change.
- **3** The Board considerations and decision on the responses from the industry.

The proposals for amendment to the Delay Attribution Principles and Rules were put out to Industry Parties for formal consultation in accordance with Track Access Condition B2.5.2. The deadline for Industry responses was the 26<sup>th</sup> January 2018. A number of Industry Parties responded to the consultation process and these responses are included in this submission.

All decisions made by the Board have been unanimous. A copy of the minutes of the meetings where the proposed amendments were agreed is available should you require it.

I await your advice on whether you approve the amendments proposed.



Delay Attribution Board Floor 8 1 Eversholt Street London NW1 2DN

Finally, in accordance with Track Access Condition B2.7.1, the Board has agreed that any changes approved by the Regulator should come into effect on 1st April 2018.

For completeness, the following Proposals for Amendment that were consulted with Industry but not agreed by the DAB to progress into the DAPR were as follows;-

- DAB P301 Bird Size Proposal
- GTR P01 Management TINs

Should you wish to discuss any aspect of this submission or the proposals for that matter, please do not hesitate to contact me as detailed above.

Kind regards,

Mark Southon

**Board Secretary** 



#### **Response Matrix:** DAB/P300 DAB/P301 DAB/P302 GTR/P01 Proposal reference Number: DAB/P299 NR/P195 Abellio Greater Anglia\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Arriva CrossCountry\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Arriva Rail Northern\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Arriva Trains London Arriva Trains Wales Chiltern Railways\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Colas Rail **DB** Cargo DB Regio Tyne & Wear Devon & Cornwall Railways **Direct Rail Services** East Midland Trains **Eurostar International** First Greater Western\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ First Transpennine Freightliner HH\* $\mathbf{\nabla}$ $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ $\checkmark$ GB Railfreight $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Govia Thameslink Railway\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Grand Central Railway Harsco Rail Heathrow Express **Hull Trains** West Midlands Trains Merseyrail MTR Crossrail NEXET Trains Ltd (c2c Rail) North Yorkshire Moors Scotrail Serco Caledonian Sleepers Southeastern Trains Stagecoach South West Trains Virgin Trains (West Coast)\* $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$

\*Response through DAMG

West Coast Railway Company

Virgin Trains East Coast

Network Rail

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Note: TfL receive the Consultation documents and have provided a response which is included. However they are not an Access Party so its response should be treated as 'comments' only and was received after the Consultation closed.

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Note: Proposals for Amendment DAB P301 (Bird Strikes) and GTR P01 (management TINs) were not agreed by the DAB to progress and were withdrawn and are not included below.



# **Proposal Responses and Decision:-**

Originators Reference Code / Nº	DAB/P299 – Service Recovery Flow Diagram
Name of the original	Delay Attribution Board
sponsoring organisation(s)	
Exact details of the change	Add new flow diagram M3.2 to Section M as set out below
proposed	
	Amend M3.1(d) Delay Code from RL to TP
Reason for the change	The previous set of Proposals for Amendment to the DAPR included a Proposal to amalgamate various scenarios relating to Service Recovery into one table within Section M (DAB P297)
	As part of the Industry feedback it was suggested to also formulate the scenarios into a flow diagram which is a format favoured by many users of the DAPR.
	This Proposal therefore sets out the principles of table M3.1 as a flow diagram for improved understanding and application.
	Amendment to M3.1(d) as RL should in fact be TP.

DAB/P299 Response	Comments
DAMG - on behalf of the identified companies in the response matrix	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Virgin Trains East Coast	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Network Rail	<ul> <li>Accepts this proposal as submitted but raise the following points:-</li> <li>There is no reference to which incident reactionary delay should be attributed to. E.g if a connection is not agreed by NR and either agreed or not by the TOC the reactionary delay should be specific as to whether a new incident should be created or the delay should be reactionary to the causal incident.</li> <li>Also if NR has agreed to a connection being held as part of service recovery, irrespective of it being a FOC incident it should always be YL. As with any other incident where we are managing the network. In addition it is also good to reiterate last train of the day / hourly service principle.</li> </ul>
TfL	No concerns raised.
Board Decision	The Board, at its meeting of 13 <sup>th</sup> February, endorsed this Proposal for Amendment to be submitted for ORR Approval.



# **PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENT TO THE DELAY ATTRIBUTION PRINCIPLES & RULES** April 2018 Edition INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Consultation closed – 26<sup>th</sup> Jan 2018

#### M3.2 Flowchart covering Service Recovery activities





# PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENT TO THE DELAY ATTRIBUTION PRINCIPLES & RULES April 2018 Edition INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Consultation closed – 26<sup>th</sup> Jan 2018

Originators	DAB/P300 – Failed Train Recovery		
Reference			
Code / №			
Name of the	Delay Attribution Board		
original	,		
sponsoring			
organisation(s)			
Exact details			
of the change			
proposed	Add n	new Table and appended notes to Se	ection M3 as below;-
	M3.3	Failed Train Recovery	
	No.	Circumstance	Incident Attribution
	1	Operator 'A' loco / unit is hired	Attribute to a separate incident but
		or commandeered under the	still coded to the same
		Railway Operational Code to	Responsibility of the original failed
		rescue a failed train operated by	train incident to Operator 'B'
		Operator 'B'.	
		The rescue loco / unit whilst	
		working under rescue mode fails. Either:-	
		<ul> <li>On the way to the failed train</li> </ul>	
		Once attached to and	
		hauling the failed train	
		Whilst working back	
		from where	
		commandeered	
		communacereu	
	2	Operator 'A' loco / unit is hired	Attribute any delay incurred to the
		or commandeered under the	rescue train / loco to the second
		Railway Operational Code to	impacting incident
		rescue a failed train operated by	-
		Operator 'B'.	Any additional delay caused to the
			recovery operations of the failed
		The rescue loco / unit whilst	train should remain attributed to
		travelling to site of the failed	Operator 'B'
		train is delayed by another	
		incident impacting recovery	
		further.	



# PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENT TO THE DELAY ATTRIBUTION PRINCIPLES & RULES April 2018 Edition INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Consultation closed – 26<sup>th</sup> Jan 2018

3 Operator 'A' loco / unit is hired or commandeered under the Railway Operational Code to rescue a failed train operated by Operator 'B' resulting in a delay or cancellation to a subsequent Operator 'A' working.	If the loco / unit is <b>hired</b> : Attribute subsequent cancellation / delays to a new incident coded to the Responsibility of Operator 'A' If the loco / unit is <b>commandeered</b> : Attribute to the incident of the original failed train of Operator 'B' (See Notes below)	
<ul> <li>4 Operator 'A' loco / unit is hired or commandeered under the Railway Operational Code to rescue a failed train operated by Operator 'B'.</li> <li>The rescue loco / unit once attached to the failed train runs under VSTP conditions* but un- validated to clear the line.</li> <li>(*whether the same schedule as the failed train, new schedule or no schedule)</li> </ul>	Delay to the VSTP train itself (if a new schedule) <b>under 5 minutes</b> PN Delay Code per Paragraph L1.4 Delay to the VSTP train itself of <b>5</b> <b>minutes and over</b> and any other reactionary delay to other trains to be attributed as continued impact of the original failure of Operator 'B' train (Attributed to same incident of the original failure)	
NOTES: If a loco or unit is 'hired' from an Operator it is construed as a Commercial decision. If a loco or unit is 'commandeered' from an Operator it is <b>not</b> construed as a Commercial decision as there is effectively no 'choice'. The same principles set out in the table above also apply to train crew if they are utilised to assist with working the rescue loco or unit or part of the recovery operation.		



Reason for the The Board recently undertook a review of Part H of the Network Code, and change specifically the associated Railway Operating Code as part of the Board's Objectives to ascertain if any element therein was deemed to be required for inclusion or clarification in the DAPR. As part of this review the main issue identified was the recovery of failed trains which had no mention in the DAPR. DAB has previously provided guidance (DAB33 Guidance) setting out certain principles in relation to recovery of a failed train were not incorporated into the DAPR at that time. Additionally, recent scenarios relating to failed train recovery of a similar nature have been raised to the Board for discussion so it was felt to align all three aspects to formulate appropriate entries into the DAPR. The above Proposal is the outputs of the DAB review and discussions taking into account Part H / ROC mechanisms, Attribution Principles and the previous DAB33 Guidance.

DAB/P300 Response	Comments
DAMG – on behalf of the identified companies in the response matrix	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Virgin Trains East Coast	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Network Rail	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
TfL	No concerns raised
DAB Decision	The Board, at its meeting of 13 <sup>th</sup> February, endorsed this Proposal for Amendment to be submitted for ORR Approval.



DAB/P302 – External Events Originators Reference Code / Nº Name of the **Delay Attribution Board** original sponsoring organisation(s) Exact details of Amend I, J, V and X Code entries in C2.3 to read as below (alterations in the change red):proposed C2.3 Brief Default Other Valid Delay Code Description Attribution and Responsible Responsible Manager Category Manger Code Codes I and J **Network Rail** Network Rail CQ\*\*,QQ\*\*, (IQ\*\*) 0Q\*\* network infrastructure problems V Operator (V##\*) D##\* **External** events which are the responsibility of Passenger Train Operators Х **Network Rail** O##\* External events (XQ\*\*) which are the responsibility of **Network Rail** Replace current C2.4 with that as shown below:-C2.4 For Operators that are monitored under Passenger Charter arrangements the criteria, definition and application of External Delay Codes (V\* and X\*) should be taken as being Passenger Charter excludable events.



Amend (	C2.5 to read as shown below (alterations in red):-
Code wh incident of defined in Party to a the detail the incid	ent of a request to attribute a particular incident to an External Delay ich does not appear to accurately reflect the circumstances of the or meet the criteria laid down for External Delay Code application (as in paragraph C2.6), the request must be further confirmed with the avoid misapplication of the DAPR. The Party should be asked to justify is of the request, which must then be entered in the incident text and lent recoded. Such recoding should not be undertaken without ag justification being provided and documented.
Amend C	C2.6 to read as shown below (alterations in red):-
criteria ne For weath • th to • a ro d • O So So • R C b • a to	nal Delay Code criteria to be met then at least one of the following eeds to be met:- her related incidents:- he relevant authorities are advising the public not to travel due o adverse weather severe weather warning has been issued to the industry elevant to the cause of delay and in the vicinity in which the elays are occurring ther modes of transport in the vicinity are being affected by the evere weather; e.g. o motorway traffic being disrupted o airports being affected o local roads being affected o ferry sailings are being disrupted or suspended oute Controls declaring a RED alert in accordance with National control Instructions and Extreme Weather Action Team (EWAT) eing initiated. railway asset is operating outside of the design parameters due o the conditions being experienced otherwise outside Industry control
Note: Fo	r further advice on weather events please refer to Section Q5
For non- • a • a	weather related incidents, either:- n incident that is wholly outside Industry control; or n impact on the operation of the Network Rail network or trains aused by individuals or organisations outside the railway ndustry



Amend Q5.1 to read as shown below (alterations in red):-
<b>Q5.1</b> If weather is classed as severe then External Delay Codes can be applied but should be noted that, for attribution purposes, to be classed as severe at lead one of the criteria below needs to be met: -
<ul> <li>the relevant authorities are advising the public not to travel due to adverse weather</li> <li>a severe weather warning has been issued to the industry relevant to the cause of delay and in the vicinity in which the delays are occurring</li> <li>other modes of transport in the vicinity are being affected by th severe weather; e.g.         <ul> <li>motorway traffic being disrupted</li> <li>airports being affected</li> <li>local roads being affected</li> <li>ferry sailings are being disrupted or suspended</li> </ul> </li> <li>Route Controls declaring a RED alert in accordance with Nationa Control Instructions and Extreme Weather Action Team (EWAT) being initiated.</li> <li>a railway asset is operating outside of the design parameters due</li> </ul>
to the conditions being experienced
And are otherwise outside Industry control
In all cases the severe weather needs to have been the direct and immedia cause of the issue and outside the control of the parties involved. The includes;-
<ul> <li>The severe weather is occurring on the actual day of the Delay Incide and;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>No reasonable or viable economic mitigation was possible against the impact of the weather.</li> </ul>
Amend Delay Code listings on page S1, SECTION S to read as follows (alterations in red):-



	Amend V and X Code tables on pages S11 and S12 in SECTION S to read as follows:-		
	V - EXTERNAL EVENTS – TOC RESPONSIBILITY		
	Abbreviated Departmental Cause Codes: EXT These codes cover events considered to be outside the control of the Rail Industry but normally attributable to Passenger Train Operators under the Track Access Performance Regime.		
	VX External events occurring on the LUL or other non NR LUL CAUSES running lines		
	X - EXTERNAL EVENTS - NETWORK RAIL		
	Abbreviated Departmental Cause Codes: EXT These codes cover events considered to be outside the control of the Rail Industry, but normally attributable to Network Rail under-the Track Access Performance Regime.		
Reason for the change	<ul> <li>As part of the DAB Objectives, a review of the attribution criteria for External events and associated Delay Codes was remitted to take place.</li> <li>All the entries in the DAPR relating to external issues are currently factored around ,and include wording relating to, Passenger Charter which was felt neds to be removed with a greater focus on the events themselves and the attribution criteria for V* an X* Code usage.</li> <li>However, the DAB are fully aware that three TOCs still have Passenger Charter arrangements in place with the DfT and so this is reflected in the revised C2.4.</li> </ul>		
	This Proposal therefore looks to clarify and enhance the current criteria of V* and X* Delay Codes and clarify the conditions when they should apply.		
	A further work stream in 2018 will look at the Delay Codes themselves in terms of improving descriptions, abbreviations and appropriate usage.		

DAB/P302 Response	Comments
DAMG - on behalf of the identified companies in the response matrix	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Virgin Trains East Coast	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Network Rail	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
TfL	No concerns rasied.
DAB Decision	The Board, at its meeting of 13 <sup>th</sup> February, endorsed this Proposal for Amendment to be submitted for ORR
	Approval.



NR P195 – OP Delay Code Originators Reference Code / N⁰ Name of the original **Network Rail** sponsoring organisation(s) Exact details of the change Remove Delay Code OP from the DAPR proposed Delete Delay Code OP entry from Section S Amend E5.1 (first sentence) replacing OP to read:-All delays where Network Rail is unable to investigate and/or record cause as a result of TRUST System failures, including SMART site failures, shall be coded **OU**/OQ\*\*. Reason for the change Delay Code OP should be utilised ONLY to capture delays that were unable to be fully investigation by Network Rail due to a TRUST system failure Ultimately it should only be utilised as a temporary code with investigations and reattribution occurring subsequently meaning very little delay remains (or should remain) in that Code Delay Code OU is now utilised for effectively the same activity. Despite only having one use set out within the DAPR, Delay Code OP has been mis-used, being applied to delay incidents that have an identified prime cause but utilising OP as TRUST access being the root cause of the delay. The alternative of setting out all the scenarios where it should not be used was seen to be counterproductive for such a seldom used code.

NR/P195 Response	Comments
DAMG - on behalf of the identified companies in the response matrix	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Virgin Trains East Coast	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
Network Rail	Accepts this proposal as submitted.
TfL	No concerns raised
	The Board, at its meeting of 13 <sup>th</sup> February, endorsed
DAB Decision	this Proposal for Amendment to be submitted for ORR
	Approval.