



Lysette Rowley
Franchise & Access Manager
NR Infrastructure Limited
Baskerville House
Centenary Square
Birmingham
B1 2ND

Alice Kaiser
Office of Rail and Road
25 Cabot Square,
London
WC2B 4AN

25 July 2025

Network Rail Representations for the 60th Supplemental Agreement submitted under Section 22A of the Railways Act 1993 for the Track Access Contract between Network Rail Infrastructure Limited and Northern Trains Limited dated 03 March 2016

1. Purpose

- 1.1. This letter provides final representations from Network Rail (NR) for the 60th Supplemental Agreement (SA) submitted under Section (S) 22A of the Railways Act 1993 for the Track Access Application between NR and Northern Trains Limited (NTL) dated 03 March 2016.
- 1.2. This representation builds upon the representations submitted by NR for this application on 28 June 2024, and the 14 March 2025 General Representation on Complex and/or Competing Applications interacting on Location ECML Kings Cross - Edinburgh and Leeds
- 1.3. The latter of these letters provided important information to support ORR when it comes to making decisions on applications in this geography including context on the work in developing the East Coast Mainline (ECML) Policy, ECML Industry Task Force, key performance information, as well as updates on power supply assessment. The annexes to that letter include relevant information including Timetable Performance Analysis and ECML Power Supply Modelling and where there is specific relevance to this application, reference will be made in this representation.
- 1.4. The purpose of this final representation is to provide ORR with NR's final position on this application (and the specific access rights within it) and will do so by providing facts, data, and evidence to support our position. As the access rights sought in this application are at the ECML interacting location, some of the evidence and data to support our position is contained in the ECML General Representation letter dated 14 March 2025.
- 1.5. NR can confirm that based on the facts, data and evidence outlined in this representation and the ECML General Representation, it is partly supportive of this

application, subject to any comments, suggested amendments or specific issues highlighted in this representation. There are some instances where the quantum applied for is not consistent with the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable, as explained below. Similarly, there are some instances where the quantum is not consistent with NTL's December 2025 timetable access proposals submitted at D-40 or what has been accommodated in the December 2025 timetable. In either case, NR has outlined in Section 9 (Access Rights Sought in this Application), our position on which access rights NR is supportive of.

- 1.6. Where there are a number of applications seeking capacity at the locations referred to in this letter, and as detailed in Annex A, the basis of our support of applications either in total, or in part (as can be determined by reading the relevant representations), may have a connection to our position on all other applications at that location. You may wish to wait for final representations on related applications and the information provided therein prior to making your decision.

2. Background of the Application and NR Representations

- 2.1. In line with ORR's letter of 24 April 2024 to the industry on "Competing and/or complex track access applications for December 2024, May 2025, and December 2025 timetable changes", NTL submitted this 60th SA application to ORR on 20 May 2024 as a S22A application in line with ORR's deadline.
- 2.2. As requested by ORR, NR submitted a High-Level Plan in June 2024, and a further detailed plan was published on NR's website in August 2024 and updated in January 2025. NR made its initial representations on this application on 28 June 2024 where an initial view of the application's Form P and SA was provided. On 22 July 2024 NTL responded to the NR initial representations. Further to this NR issued a General Representation on the ECML to ORR dated 14 March 2025.
- 2.3. In its initial representation on 28 June 2024, NR highlighted a number of items in the "NR Review of Form P and associated documents" section of the letter. In addition, due to changes to the commencement date of the ECML Timetable (following the initial representation to ORR) and directions made by ORR on other S22A SAs for NTL, NR has undertaken a further review of the application documents and would also like to highlight some additional items that NR would like ORR to take into account when making a direction on this application.
- 2.4. The points we would like to highlight from the initial representation are as follows:
 - NR highlighted where discrepancies had been identified between the marked-up tables in the draft 60th SA and the associated summary in the Form P. NTL subsequently submitted a corrected version of the Form P for the 60th SA to ORR on 04 July 2024 in response to the points raised in the representation letter. NR notes that no changes were made to the marked-up tables in the 60th SA at this time, and that the changes made to the Form P simply brought it in line with the marked-up tables. This update has been taken into account by NR in its analysis of the interacting aspiration applications.
 - NTL provided a response to ORR on NR's initial representation letter on 27 July 2024. No specific questions were raised for NR in the response, with feedback for the 60th SA focusing on NTL's concerns about the assessment timescales for the rights associated with the ECML ESG Timetable, which at that time was potentially still due to go live in May 2025. The decision was later taken to implement the ECML ESG Timetable in December 2025. NR has carried out its

assessment of this application in line with its High-Level Plan as shared with ORR in June 2024, and the further detailed plan as published on NR's website in August 2024 (and updated in January 2025). Phase 5 of our High-Level Plan was carried out from 07 March 2025 – 13 June 2025. The outputs of that analysis have informed NR's representations in this letter.

2.5. From our recent review of this application, we would like to highlight the following specific points from the Form P:

- The Form P states that the expiry date of the Track Access Contract (TAC) and desired end date for the application is Principal Change Date (PCD) 2025, but notes that the 55th SA was seeking to extend the expiry of the TAC to PCD 2027. The 55th SA was approved by ORR on 10 June 2024, so NR has reviewed and assessed this application with the view that NTL is seeking an end date of PCD 2027 for these rights. The draft SA provided with the application states "it shall cease to have effect at the Expiry Date or earlier termination of the Contract", therefore NR acknowledges no further amendment is required to the SA with regard to the expiry date.
- The Form P states that these rights are required for the implementation of the ECML Timetable Rewrite in May 2025, and requests a start date of "SCD 2025 (or in line with a revised implementation date of the deferred ESG timetable)". At the time of submission, the ECML Timetable had been deferred from December 2024, but a decision had not yet been made on exactly when it would go ahead. NR therefore understands that NTL specified the earliest potential start date for the rights, to ensure they were in place should the ECML ESG timetable go ahead in May 2025. The decision was subsequently taken to defer implementation of the ECML Timetable to December 2025. NR has therefore assessed this application on the basis that NTL is seeking commencement of the rights from December 2025. This is further confirmed by the fact that NTL did not submit an access proposal at D-40 for the May 2025 timetable for the proposed access rights in this 60th SA but did include many of them in the access proposal at D-40 for the December 2025 timetable.
- In Section 4.2 of the Form P, NTL states "The 60th SA contains changes which are consistent with the ESG Timetable outputs. The ESG work has been capacity modelled and as well as delivering an output timetable to demonstrate the use of capacity". NR has identified some discrepancies between this application and the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, therefore does not necessarily agree that the application is consistent with the ESG Timetable outputs. This is explained in more detail later in this letter.

2.6. From our recent review of this application, we would like to highlight the following specific points from the draft SA:

- The draft SA states an effective date of "the later of the date upon which the Office of Rail and Road issues its approval pursuant to section 22 of the Act of the terms of this Supplemental Agreement; and 03:00 on the Subsidiary Change Date 2025". As noted above, the start date for these rights would now be the Principal Change Date (December) 2025. If any rights in this application are directed by ORR, this will need to be amended in the draft SA to prevent the start date predating the December 2025 timetable change.

- The draft SA has been submitted with a marked-up version of the Schedule 5 tables. These will need to be replaced with a clean version of the Schedule 5 tables for insertion into the TAC, should ORR direct any rights in this application.
- In the marked-up tables, there are a number of access rights where the table shows a marked-up amendment to those rights but also contains comments from NTL to show they are not being amended under this application but via the 55th or 57th SAs. Those access rights have not been assessed or reviewed as part of this application. The details of this are further highlighted below:
 - There are changes highlighted on the marked-up tables that are associated with the 55th SA (TAC extension), which demonstrate where NTL planned to remove unused Doncaster <> Scunthorpe and Doncaster <> Sheffield rights in ED06. NTL has included comments next to these rights on the marked-up table in the draft SA to this application, to show that they were part of the 55th SA and have been included by NTL in the draft SA to the 60th SA on the assumption they would be approved and would therefore need to be part of the base table for this SA. The 55th SA was approved by ORR on 10 June 2024 via a S22 application, therefore for those access rights NR has not provided a view or included them in the assessment of this application, but acknowledges that if ORR were to direct in line with this 60th S22A application, that it should be reflective of any relevant changes made in the approved 55th SA and any other applications that have been approved by ORR since the 60th SA was submitted via a S22A in May 2024.
 - There are a number of changes highlighted in the marked-up tables that are associated with the 57th SA, mainly for quantum increases. NTL has included comments next to these rights on the marked-up table for the 60th SA to show that they were part of the 57th SA and were included in the draft 60th SA by NTL on the assumption they would be approved and would therefore need to be part of the base table for this SA. Those rights were not mentioned in the Form P as forming part of this application, and NTL is not seeking for ORR to make a direction for the changes as part of this 60th SA. For clarification, the 57th SA was updated by NTL on 13 August 2024 to remove all changes except the additional Leeds<>Huddersfield rights in ED05.16. NR was directed by ORR to enter into a SA with NTL for these Leeds<>Huddersfield rights only on 22 January 2025, therefore if ORR was to direct in line with this 60th S22A application, it should be reflective of any relevant changes made in the approved 57th SA (not as drafted and commented on in the draft SA to this 60th SA application) and any other application where it has been approved by ORR since the 60th SA was submitted in May 2024. Many of the rights removed from the 57th SA were supported on a contingent basis under the interim approach for December 2024 (62nd SA) and May 2025 (64th SA) and will expire in December 2025. NTL submitted a new S22A application to ORR on 20 June 2025 (66th SA) to request these rights as firm in December 2025.
 - For absolute clarity, the rights reviewed, assessed, and being commented on in terms of our position on those rights in this representation letter, are those referred to in Table 1 (Overview of Access Rights Sought in the Application) of Section 9 of this letter and as listed in Annex B.

2.7. NTL submitted a further proposed amendment to the 60th SA to ORR on 13 March 2025. This sought to amend the 60th SA to include all access rights now known by NTL to be required for the December 2025 timetable, including rights supported as contingent for the May 2025 timetable only under the interim approach, updates to

the ECML timetable, and rights required for December 2025 that were not known about at the time of the original submission in May 2024. It also sought to move the contents of the 59th SA into the 60th. NR set out its views to ORR on this proposed amendment via email on 31 March 2025. Following discussions with ORR, NTL agreed that the 60th SA would remain as originally submitted in May 2024 (plus the corrections made to the Form P on 04 July 2024). The additional changes required for December 2025 were submitted by NTL to ORR as new S22A applications on 20 June 2025 (the 66th, 67th, and 68th SAs), and the 59th SA was not moved into the 60th. This position is in line with ORR's letter to the industry dated 07 May 2025 "New/amended rights (under the competing/complex process) from December 2025".

3. East Coast Mainline General Representation Letter dated 14 March 2025

- 3.1. NR can confirm that this application is seeking access rights at the interacting location "ECML: Kings Cross – Edinburgh and Leeds" and therefore the General Representation to ORR on the ECML dated 14 March 2025 is relevant to this application.
- 3.2. Whilst the entire letter is relevant to this application, we would like to highlight key points of that letter which are more pertinent to this application namely "Unused LNER Firm Directed Rights", "Congested Infrastructure" and "ECML Timetable Performance Analysis".

4. Unused London North Eastern Railway (LNER) Firm Directed Rights

- 4.1. The ECML ESG Timetable does not include the Unused LNER Firm Directed Rights as stated in paragraph 5 in NR's ECML General Representation letter to ORR dated 14 March 2025.
- 4.2. The ECML Timetable planned for introduction in December 2025 does not include 8 LNER firm rights Monday to Saturday, 7 firm rights Sunday Only, between London King's Cross and Leeds via Wakefield or Micklefield directed by ORR in 2016.
- 4.3. The specification for the LNER service to/from London King's Cross had been reduced from 6.5 trains per hour (tph) to 6 tph with agreement from the DfT in 2021. This reduction retained the 0.5 tph London King's Cross – Middlesbrough service, albeit as far as York, with the 0.5 tph London King's Cross – Leeds service being descoped. ECML Programme Board on 21st March 2021 noted the recommendation from East Coast Route to defer the 0.5 tph London King's Cross – Leeds service, including the conditional outcome of journey time reduction between London Kings Cross and Leeds, to a post-ECML ESG [December 2025] future timetable change.
- 4.4. As of 14 March 2025, NR have formally declared congested infrastructure on relevant routes between Huntingdon North Junction (Jn) and New England North Jn (Peterborough) and Doncaster Marshgate Jn and Leeds Copley Hill West Jn.
- 4.5. Work undertaken for the ESG has shown definitively that this 0.5 tph London King's Cross – Leeds service uplift cannot be accommodated alongside the other ESG outputs. As such the service cannot run in this timetable or future timetables, alongside the other industry endorsed aspirations without additional infrastructure and associated development activity, which is currently unfunded and uncommitted.

5. ECML Congested Infrastructure

5.1. As stated in the ECML General Representation letter dated 14 March 2025 (paragraph 6) NR has declared Congested Infrastructure for the December 2025 New Working Timetable for three lines of route on the ECML.

5.2. Of those, the lines of route that this application is proposing access rights for, are:

- Between Doncaster Marshgate Jn and Leeds Copley Hill West Jn; and
- Between Northallerton Longlands Jn and Newcastle King Edward Bridge South via ECML.

6. ECML Proposed December 2025 Timetable

6.1. As referred to in the ECML General representation letter dated 14 March 2025, in February 2024 the ESG closed following an ECML Programme Board endorsement on 17 January 2024 to deploy the new ECML Timetable in December 2024, subject to the outputs of the completed performance modelling.

6.2. At the point in time of ORR's letter to the Industry on 24 April 2024, the Department for Transport (DfT) had accepted a recommendation from the Industry Timetable Assurance Project Management Office (PMO) to funders that the ECML ESG Timetable should be deferred from the December 2024 timetable change.

6.3. An ECML Industry Task Force (herein referred to as "the Task Force") commenced in June 2024 as an independently led executive-level cross-industry meeting that provides strategic direction for the work programme. The Task Force develops solutions to the problems of the new ECML Timetable, drives consensus on the outcome(s), and delivers recommendations for industry funders and specifiers.

6.4. On 17 October 2024, the Independent Chair of the Task Force wrote to the DfT to advise that the Task Force met on 10 October 2024, reviewed the considerations, issues, and risks, and recommended proceeding with implementation of the new timetable for ECML in December 2025. This was on the basis that the timetable is deliverable and meets the Task Force objectives that were set. Concerns were noted from GB Railfreight (representing themselves and other Freight Operating Companies), ScotRail and Transport Scotland. The Task Force recommendation was accepted by the DfT and subsequently endorsed by the Secretary of State in December 2024.

6.5. The Task Force had worked collaboratively up to 31 January 2025 to further de-risk the transition of the ECML ESG timetable from development to timetable production.

6.6. Advanced work completed by NR Capacity Planning, to inform the December 2025 timetable risk, involved aligning cross boundary paths in the ECML ESG developed timetable with the latest developments in the wider National Working Timetable (WTT) and associated Rolling Spot Bids (RSB). This process has highlighted that, despite previous timetable development work, the national freight and passenger timetable has evolved, and this work has been necessary to reduce the risk that capacity decisions may need to be made during the timetable production period between D-40 to D-26.

6.7. Therefore, where in this letter and in the ECML General Representation letter we have referred to the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable, we are referring to

the timetable work above namely, either in full or in part, the:

- timetable which was developed by the ECML ESG and later deferred in April 2024;
- Timetable where solutions were developed to the problems of the ECML Timetable as part of the Task Force;
- Advanced Timetable Work (undertaken between April and October 2024) to de-risk the transition of the ECML ESG timetable from development to timetable production; and
- Advanced work to inform timetable risk including aligning cross boundary paths in the ECML ESG developed timetable with the latest developments in the wider National Working Timetable and associated Rolling Spot Bids.

6.8. So, where NR highlights in this representation and the ECML General Representation letter (in the relevant annexes to that letter) whether the access rights sought on ECML in each application are as NR expects in the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable, we are referring to whether the access rights align to the above Timetable work.

7. Access Rights Contained in the Application as Part of the ECML Policy

7.1. Some of the rights in this application, meaning firm rights being sought to replace long-held contingent rights in Table 2.2 for service group ED01 Tyne, Tees and Wear, were supported under a S22 application under the ECML Policy and were last applied for in the December 2024 timetable via the 62nd SA.

7.2. In addition to this, we would like to highlight to ORR that the contingent rights applied for under the ECML Policy in the 62nd SA, as mentioned in 7.1, expire at the December 2025 timetable change. Through this application NTL is seeking firm rights to replace the current contingent rights at a quantum required for the implementation of the ECML December 2025 timetable. ORR is to note that any footnote relating to the ECML Policy will need to be deleted.

8. Access Rights Contained in the Application as Part of the Interim Approach

8.1. Except for those highlighted in Section 7, the access right changes in this application are new for December 2025 in order to facilitate the implementation of the ECML Timetable Rewrite, and do not already exist under the Interim Approach.

9. Access Rights Sought in the Application

9.1. The rights sought in this application are required to deliver the proposed ECML Timetable in December 2025. A full list can be found in Annex B, and a high-level overview can be seen in the following table:

Table 1 – Overview of Access Rights Sought in the Application

<u>The rights included in the 60th SA</u>	<u>Specific locations identified in ORR's Letter of 24 April 2024</u>
<u>ED01 Tyne, Tees and Wear</u> Wholesale changes impacting the Teeside and Newcastle areas across all days of the week.	(f) ECML Kings Cross-Edinburgh and Leeds
<u>ED04 West and North Yorkshire Inter Urban</u> A small number of amendments to origin/destination on the Leeds <> Harrogate line on Sundays +1 firm weekday AM Peak right Harrogate-Leeds +1 firm Saturday right Harrogate-Leeds	(f) ECML Kings Cross-Edinburgh and Leeds
<u>ED06 South and East Yorkshire Inter Urban</u> Amendments to services on the Sheffield <> Scarborough line of route Amendments to routing of existing Sunday services between Hull <> York from via ECML to via Sherburn-in-Elmet Additional rights York <> Bridlington via Sherburn-in-Elmet	(f) ECML Kings Cross-Edinburgh and Leeds
<u>ED07 South and East Yorkshire Local</u> +1 firm weekday Off Peak right Sheffield-Huddersfield +1 firm Saturday right Sheffield-Huddersfield	(f) ECML Kings Cross-Edinburgh and Leeds

9.2. Annex B of this letter contains a table which shows all the requested access rights in this application reviewed and compared against the expected access rights required by NTL for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable, and against NTL's services accommodated in the December 2025 timetable.

9.3. The Table in Annex B provides details of the access rights characteristics i.e.:

- Origin
- Destination
- Quantum by Day of Week (Peak or Off Peak)
- If the access rights are currently held in the contract and proposed change is an amendment to those rights for e.g. calling pattern change, contingent to firm etc.
- Which locations it interacts with from ORR's list of nine locations in their letter to the industry 24 April 2024.

9.4. In line with NR's ECML letter to ORR on 14 March 2025, NR can confirm that the majority of the rights sought in this application are in line with what was expected in the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable. There are however some differences to what was expected, and these are highlighted in red on the table in Annex B. NR acknowledges that NTL shared a revised view of the rights it requires to implement their December 2025 timetable on 13 March 2025, when it made a request to ORR to amend the 60th SA accordingly. In line with ORR's letter to industry of 01 November

2024 and its position on accepting changes to applications after 20 May 2024, these proposed amendments to the 60th SA were instead later submitted to ORR by NTL as new S22A applications on 20 June 2025, and no changes were subsequently made to the 60th SA. The new S22A applications (66th, 67th and 68th SAs) submitted on 20 June 2025 reflect the further changes NTL now requires for December 2025.

- 9.5. The columns headed “No. of rights requested” in Annex B detail the quantum of rights and calling patterns requested by NTL in the 60th SA application. To confirm, by this we mean the corrected version of the application that was submitted to ORR by NTL on 04 July 2024.
- 9.6. The columns headed “No. of additional rights expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable” in Annex B detail the quantum of rights that NR would expect NTL to require for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable. In addition, the columns headed “No. of rights required to operate the December 2025 Timetable” in Annex B detail the quantum of rights for services accommodated in the December 2025 timetable. NR can confirm that there are some instances where the access rights requested in this application and what is contained in these columns are not aligned.
- 9.7. NR is supportive of rights requested by NTL where the access rights sought are either equal to or lower than those expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable and those accommodated in the December 2025 timetable. This position is in line with ORR’s letter to the industry dated 07 May 2025 “New/amended rights (under the competing/complex process) from December 2025”.
- 9.8. Rights that are not supported by NR as requested by NTL are highlighted in red on the table in Annex B. These are summarised at the end of this section in Table 2 – Access Rights Requested but Not Supported in Application, along with commentary explaining NR’s position. This table is also included as Annex C to this letter.
- 9.9. NR has identified instances in this application where NTL has proposed to reduce the number of firm access rights, but a reduction of a higher quantum is required because those rights have not been exercised in NTL’s Access Proposal submitted at D-40. NR has highlighted these in Table 2 of Section 9 of this letter. NR believes a further reduction of rights is included in the 67th SA to bring NTL’s Table 2.1 in line with the December 2025 timetable, and would like ORR to consider this point in their directions for this application.
- 9.10. All other rights in Annex B can be considered as supported by NR, as they are in line with what was expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and are in line with what has been accommodated in the December 2025 timetable.
- 9.11. NR would like to again acknowledge that some of the rights that are unsupported by NR in this application are due to discrepancies between the 60th SA and either the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable and/or NTL’s December 2025 Access Proposals and/or the December 2025 timetable, and that NR expects some of these discrepancies are addressed by NTL in the new S22A applications that were submitted to ORR on 20 June 2025, specifically the 66th and 67th SAs.

Table 2 – Access Rights Requested but Not Supported in Application

Service Group Description	Origin	Destination	Day of week	No. of rights requested:	No. of additional rights expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable	No. of rights required to operate the December 2025 Timetable	No. of rights supported by NR	Comments
ED01.1	Darlington	Saltburn	Weekday Off Peak	2	1	1	1	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal for the December 2025 timetable.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.1	Darlington	Saltburn	Saturday	3	2	2	2	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal for the December 2025 timetable.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.7	Newcastle	Whitby	Off Peak	-1	-1	0	0	<p>NTL has requested to remove more rights than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal, i.e. 0</p>
ED01.7	Newcastle	Whitby	Saturday	-1	-1	0	0	<p>NTL has requested to remove more rights than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal, i.e. 0</p>
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Weekday AM Peak	4	4	3	3	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p>
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Weekday Off Peak	8	7	3	3	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application.</p> <p>NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40.</p>
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Saturday	11	9	5	5	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application.</p> <p>NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>

OFFICIAL

ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday AM Peak	1	2	2	1	<p>NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL.</p> <p>To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the next two lines of entry.</p>
ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday PM Peak	2	4	4	2	<p>NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL.</p> <p>To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the previous and next lines of entry.</p>
ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday Off Peak	8	5	5	5	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the two previous lines of entry.</p>
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Weekday PM Peak	2	2	0	0	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested no additional rights in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Weekday Off Peak	10	7	5	5	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application.</p> <p>NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Saturday	11	8	4	4	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application.</p> <p>NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>

OFFICIAL

ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Weekday AM Peak	-1	-2	-2	-1	<p>NTL has requested to remove fewer rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and in their D-40 proposal. This will leave NTL with unused rights, which NR would need to review through the Part J process. NR believes NTL should be removing 2 rights.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Weekday PM Peak	1	0	0	0	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Saturday	-9	-11	-11	-9	<p>NTL has requested to remove fewer rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and in their D-40 proposal. This will leave NTL with unused rights, which NR would need to review through the Part J process. NR believes NTL should be removing 11 rights.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.4	Newcastle	Hexham	Saturday	11	10	10	10	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.4	Newcastle	MetroCentre	Weekday Off Peak	3	3	2	2	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p>
ED01.4	Newcastle	MetroCentre	Saturday	3	3	2	2	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p>
ED01.4	MetroCentre	Newcastle	Saturday	2	2	0	0	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p>
ED01.7	Whitby	Newcastle	Weekday AM Peak	1	0	0	0	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>To note, the overall additional quantum for the Whitby-Newcastle weekday rights (1) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a Peak right, whereas the ECML timetable and the D-40 proposal include an Off Peak right. This comment links to the next line of entry.</p>
ED01.7	Whitby	Newcastle	Weekday Off Peak	0	1	1	0	<p>NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL.</p> <p>To note, the overall additional quantum for the Whitby-Newcastle weekday rights (1) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a Peak right, whereas the ECML timetable and the D-40 proposal include an Off Peak right. This comment links to the previous line of entry.</p>

OFFICIAL

ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Hexham	Saturday	-2	-1	-1	-1	<p>NTL has requested to remove more rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Newcastle (via Sunderland)	Weekday Off Peak	6	6	5	5	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Newcastle (via Sunderland)	Saturday	6	5	5	5	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Newcastle	Middlesbrough (via Sunderland)	Saturday	6	6	5	5	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Weekday PM Peak	3	1	1	1	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Weekday Off Peak	6	6	5	5	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Saturday	10	7	7	7	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.</p>

OFFICIAL

ED04.1	Harrogate	Leeds	Sunday	-2	-1	-1	-1	<p>NTL has requested to remove more rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal.</p> <p>NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 66th SA.</p>
ED06.4	Hull	Doncaster	Sunday	1	0	0	0	<p>NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and than were included in their D-40 proposal.</p> <p>As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission</p>
ED07.4	Sheffield	Huddersfield	Weekday Off Peak	1	1	1 FO	1 FO	<p>The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NTL currently holds 17 weekday and Saturday access rights – in the December 2025 timetable there are 17 services Monday-Thursday, and an 18th service on Fridays and Saturdays only (FSO).</p> <p>NR understands from NTL that the existing base Every Working Day (EWD) timetable, covered by the existing 17 rights, is impacted by TRU works, resulting in this FSO service. The additional service they are seeking to introduce is a new 21.35 EWD service. The net position is therefore that NTL appears to require an additional FSO right only, however this is due to the impact of TRU on their base plan and NR understands that NTL has therefore requested +1 weekday right in accordance with their normal base timetable.</p>

10. Assurance / Assessments / Updates

10.1. The following section will address specific areas of consideration, opportunity, and risk relevant to the application or where applicable to specific access rights in the application. Where the outputs relate to specific access rights instead of the application as a whole this will be highlighted in the relevant section.

10.2. Capacity

10.2.1. In line with NR's ECML letter to ORR on 14 March 2025, NR can confirm that the majority of the rights sought in this application are in line with the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable.

10.2.2. The ECML December 2025 specification developed by the ECML ESG and subsequent ECML Industry Task Force took a holistic view of capacity and performance whilst considering service specifications, service aspirations, and journey time outputs from ECML ESG and Task Force members. ORR in awarding the capacity to one of the operators identified as interacting within Annex A, in line with the proposed ECML December 2025 specification, would be allocating a proportion of the capacity that could otherwise be available to other Operators' applications, or elements of applications, which were not included in the ESG specification and that have additional capacity requests at that location.

10.2.3. In the case of any application that is related to the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable, which was developed, modelled and recommended for progression into the development period - the most applicable alternative option, if the rights sought were not directed, in full or part, would be to allocate capacity to an Operator who has aspirations for an access right with similar characteristics, i.e. Long Distance High Speed services. Consequentially, the ORR may wish to consider the impact on the forecast operation and performance of the Timetable and the basis on which The Taskforce recommended the timetable for implementation and the modelling undertaken to assure it.

10.2.4. Timetable capacity assessments undertaken for this application, in line with the High-Level plan, have identified timetable capacity for those rights which NR is supportive of within this representation letter – this includes where the quantum requested in the 60th SA was in line with what was expected for the ECML December 2025 Timetable and/or for where the rights align to paths accommodated in the December 2025 timetable. We have outlined in Section 9 where NR has identified differences between NTL's December 2025 Access Proposal and the quantum of rights requested in this application.

10.2.5. Away from the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, paths aligned to the rights in the NTL 60th SA conflict with rights in the Freightliner Limited (Freightliner) 26th and Freightliner Heavy Haul Limited (FLHH) 28th SAs. NR is not supportive of any of the rights in the Freightliner 26th and FLHH 28th SAs, as per our representation letters of 11 April 2025. The FLHH 28th SA has since been withdrawn by the Operator.

10.2.6. In Table 2 in Section 9, NR has explained that it does not support in full an additional weekday Off Peak right between Sheffield and Huddersfield. NTL

currently holds 17 weekday and 17 Saturday access rights, and in the December 2025 timetable there are 17 services Monday-Thursday and 18 services on Fridays and Saturdays. NR understands from NTL that the existing base Every Working Day (EWD) timetable, covered by the existing 17 rights, is impacted by TRU works, resulting in a Friday and Saturday Only (FSO) service. The additional service they are seeking to introduce is a new 21.35 EWD service. The net position is therefore that NTL appears to require an additional FSO right only, however this is due to the impact of TRU on their base plan and NR understands that NTL has therefore requested +1 weekday and +1 Saturday right in accordance with their normal base timetable.

10.3. **Performance**

10.3.1. NR can confirm that this application was included in the ECML Timetable Performance Analysis that is included within NR's General Representation to ORR on the ECML dated 14 March 2025. Please refer to Annex L of that letter for further information.

10.4. **ECML Power Supply Modelling**

10.4.1. NR can confirm that this application directly relates to paragraph 11 of NR's General Representation to ORR on the ECML dated 14 March 2025 and is included in the power modelling report which is an Annex (Annex M) to the 14 March 2025 General Representation.

11. **Any other risks or cross-route concerns**

11.1. A small number of the access rights in this 60th SA application cross onto NW&C Region, running between Newcastle, Middlesbrough, etc. (Eastern Region) and Carlisle (NW&C). They do not traverse the West Coast Mainline. NR understands the net position to be an increase of trains into and out of Carlisle on weekdays and Saturdays, and a reduction on Sundays. No performance issues have been identified.

11.2. The services impacting NW&C are planned to run with diesel rolling stock, so power supply has not been a consideration for this application. No level crossing risks have been identified.

12. **Conclusion**

12.1. In this representation letter NR has confirmed that we support in part the access rights sought in this application and where stated they are as NR expected in the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable. Of the discrepancies we have highlighted, we have also explained our understanding of how these will be progressed through other applications by NTL. In addition, we have also provided an explanation to ORR of what the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable is an amalgamation of, in terms of Advanced Timetable Work, and confirmed that our position is based on these assessments.

12.2. The proposed ECML Timetable for December 2025 is the output of all the hard collaborative work the industry has undertaken since the ECML ESG was formed in 2019. Our position on this application is an output of that work.

12.3. If ORR chooses to direct this application, NR would like the opportunity to review any finalised Schedule 5 table drafting before ORR directs.

Yours sincerely,

A black rectangular box used to redact the signature of Lysette Rowley.

Lysette Rowley
Franchise & Access Manager
NW&C Region, Network Rail

ANNEXES

Annex A – Interacting Locations Matrix

Operator/Application/Type	Status of Application		by-Sheffield	ffield	/L&Leeds
Caledonian Sleeper 9th SA 17	Live				x
Colas 10th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
CrossCountry 38th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
DBC 72nd SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
DBC 73rd SA 22a	Live			x	x
DBC 79th SA 22a	Live				x
DBC 81st SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
DBC 86th SA 22a	Live			x	x
DBC 87th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
DBC 88th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
DCR 2nd SA 22a	Live		x		x
DRS 17th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
EMR 19th SA 22A	Live				x
EMR 20th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
EMR 21st SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLHH 25th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLHH 26th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLHH 27th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLHH 28th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLIM 21st SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLIM 22nd SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLIM 24th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
FLIM 25th SA 22A	Live			x	x
FLIM 26th SA 22A	Live		x	x	x
GBRf 25th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
GBRf 34th SA 22a	Live		x	x	x
GBRF 41st SA 22A	Live				x
Govia Thames Railway 62nd SA 22A	Live				x
Govia Thames Railway 63rd SA 22A	Live				x
Grand Central 24th SA 22A	Directed by ORR				x
Grand Central 28th SA 22A	Live				x
Hull Trains 27th SA 22A	Live			x	x
Hull Trains 28th SA 22A	Directed by ORR				x
Hull Trains 29th SA 22A	Live				x
LIS 2nd SA 22a	Live				x
LNER 34th SA 22A	Live				x
LNER 35th SA 22A May '28	Live				x
LNER 36th SA 22A	Live				x
LNER 38th SA 22A	Live				x
Lumo 11th SA 22A	Live				x
Lumo 12th SA 22A	Live				x
Northern 59th SA 22a	Live			x	x
Northern 60th SA 22a	Live			x	x
Scotrail 50th SA 22a	Live				x
Scotrail 51st SA 22a	Live				x
Super Tram 11th SA 22a	Live			x	
TPT 58th SA 22a	Live			x	x
TPT 63rd SA 22a	Live				x
TPT 64th SA 22a	Live		x		x
TPT 65th SA 22a	Live				x
Varamis 2nd SA 22a	Live				x

Annex B – Table Of Access Rights Requested in Application

Attached as PDF

Annex C – Access Rights Requested but Not Supported in Application

Attached as PDF

Annex B – Table Of Access Rights Requested in Application

									No. of rights requested									No. of additional rights expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable				No. of rights required to operate the December 2025 Timetable								
Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds	
									Peak	Off Peak	All	All						Peak	Off Peak	All	All		Peak	Off Peak	All	All				
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Darlington	Saltburn	New Right(s)	NO	TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1 (AM)	2	3		Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	No	Yes	1 (AM)	1	2	n/a	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.	1 (AM)	1	2	n/a	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Saltburn	Darlington	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		2	2		Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes		2	2		Matches		2	2		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Darlington	Middlesbrough	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby,	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches					-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Middlesbrough	Saltburn	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-2	-2	-1	South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes		-2	-2	-1	Matches		-2	-2	-1	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Saltburn	Middlesbrough	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-1	-1	-1	South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes		-1	-1	-1	Matches		-1	-1	-1	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Darlington	Nunthorpe	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough,	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Middlesbrough	Bishop Auckland	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-1	-1	-2	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby,	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes		-1	-1	-2	Matches		-1	-1	-2	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Middlesbrough	Darlington	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby,	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches					1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Darlington	Whitby	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Commondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Whitby	Darlington	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Commondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000	Middlesbrough	Whitby	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1	2	3	3	James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Commondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	1	2	3	3	Matches	1	2	3	3	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000	Whitby	Middlesbrough	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	1		1	James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Commondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	1		1	Matches	-1	1		1	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 21801000	Nunthorpe	Middlesbrough	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1		1	8	Gypsy Lane, Marton	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	1		1	8	Matches	1		1	8	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 21801000	Middlesbrough	Nunthorpe	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		1	1	8	Gypsy Lane, Marton	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes		1	1	8	Matches		1	1	8	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920	Newcastle	Whitby	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-1	-1	-1	Heworth, Sunderland, Seaham,Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham, Stockton, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Commondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes		-1	-1	-1	Matches		0	0	-1	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application.		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Darlington	Bishop Auckland	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)			1	1	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes			1	1	Matches			1	1	Matches		X	

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds
									Peak	Off Peak	All	All						Peak	Off Peak	All	All		Peak	Off Peak	All	All			
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Bishop Aud	Saltburn	Contingent Dated		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	6	9	15	13	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	9	15	13	Matches	6	9	15	13	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Bishop Aud	Saltburn	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1		1	1	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes	1		1	1	Matches	1		1	1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Saltburn	Bishop Aucklan	Contingent Dated		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	6	8	14	10	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	8	14	10	Matches	6	8	14	10	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21801000	Saltburn	Bishop Aucklan	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Shildon, Newton Aycliffe, Heighington, North Road, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew	Teeside Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000	Carlisle	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	4 (AM)	8	11	11	MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	4 (AM)	7	9	11	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware of the Off Peak difference only and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.	3 (AM)	3	5	11	Weekday Peak, Weekday Off Peak, and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware of the Off Peak difference only and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	3 (1 AM, 2 PM)	8	11	-5	MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	6 (2 AM, 4 PM)	5	11	-5	Overall weekday quantum of 11 is aligned, NR believes NTL seeking incorrect distribution between Peak and Off Peak	6 (2 AM, 4 PM)	5	11	-5	Overall weekday quantum of 11 is aligned, NR believes NTL seeking incorrect distribution between Peak and Off Peak		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000	Newcastle	Carlisle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	2 (PM)	10	11	13	MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	2 (PM)	7	8	13	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application.	0	5	4	13	Weekday PM Peak, Weekday Off Peak, and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000	Middlesbro	Carlisle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	7	6	13	-4	MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	7	6	13	-4	Matches	7	6	13	-4	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Carlisle	Morpeth	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1 AM, +1 PM	-9	-9		Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood	n/a	Yes	No	Yes	-2 AM	-9	-11		Weekday Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application.	-2 AM	-9	-11		Weekday Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Morpeth	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-5	-7	-11		Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood,	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-5	-7	-11		Matches	-5	-7	-11		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Chathill	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	-1	-1		Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood, Morpeth, Cramlington, Manors, Newcastle, Dunston, MetroCentre, Blaydon, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	-1	-1		Matches	-1	-1	-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Carlisle	Chathill	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)			-1	-1	Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood, Morpeth, Cramlington, Manors, Newcastle, Dunston, MetroCentre, Blaydon, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes			-1	-1	Matches		-1	-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21794000	Chathill	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1	1	1		Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood, Morpeth, Cramlington	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes	1	1	1		Matches	1	1	1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	Newcastle	Chathill	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		1	1		Alnmouth, Acklington, Widdrington, Pegswood, Morpeth, Cramlington	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes		1	1		Matches		1	1		Matches		X

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds
									Peak	Off Peak								Peak	Off Peak				Peak	Off Peak					
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	Hexham	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		8	9		MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes		8	9		Matches		8	9		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	Newcastle	Hexham	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	2 (PM)	9	11		MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston	Yes	No	Yes	2 (PM)	9	10		Saturday quantum does not match application.	2 (PM)	9	10		Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21794000	Morpeth	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Cramlington, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	Newcastle	MetroCentre	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		3	3	-3		Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes		3	3	-3	Matches		2	2	-3	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	MetroCentre	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		2	2	-3		Dunston	Yes	No	Yes		2	2	-3	Matches		2	0	-3	Saturday quantum does not match application.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000, 11792920	MetroCentre	Stockton	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Dunston, Newcastle, Heworth, Sunderland, Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21791000	Middlesbrough	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-4	Cramlington, Wetheral, Brampton, Haltwhistle, Bardon Mill, Haydon Bridge, Hexham, Corbridge, Riding Mill, Stocksfield, Prudhoe, Wylam, Blaydon, MetroCentre, Dunston, Newcastle, Chester-le-Street, Durham, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-4	Matches			-4	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21791000	Salisbury	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Cramlington, Wetheral, Brampton, Haltwhistle, Bardon Mill, Haydon Bridge, Hexham, Corbridge, Riding Mill, Stocksfield, Prudhoe, Wylam, Blaydon, MetroCentre, Dunston, Newcastle, Chester-le-Street, Durham, Darlington, Dinsdale, Allens West, Eaglescliffe, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, Redcar Central, Redcar East, Lonsdale, Marske	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1	Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21791000	Middlesbrough	Newcastle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-2	-2	James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-2	-2	Matches	-1		-2	-2	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21791000	Newcastle	Middlesbrough	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-1	-1		James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes		-1	-1		Matches		-1	-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21791000	Darlington	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1		1	1		Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	1		1	1	Matches	1		1	1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21796000	Whitby	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1				Corbridge, Riding Mill, Stocksfield, Prudhoe, Wylam, Blaydon, MetroCentre, Dunston, Newcastle, Heworth, Sunderland, Seaham, Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham, Stockton, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Comondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1				Matches	-1			Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21796000	Whitby	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1 (AM)				Corbridge, Riding Mill, Stocksfield, Prudhoe, Wylam, Blaydon, MetroCentre, Dunston, Newcastle, Heworth, Sunderland, Seaham, Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham, Stockton, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Comondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Grosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	No	Yes				Weekday AM Peak and Off Peak quantum does not match application		1		Weekday AM Peak and Off Peak quantum does not match application		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 21796000	Battersby	MetroCentre	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-1			Dunston, Newcastle, Heworth, Sunderland, Seaham, Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham, Stockton, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes		-1		Matches		-1		Matches		X		

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds
									Peak	Off Peak	All	All						Peak	Off Peak	All	All		Peak	Off Peak	All	All			
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920	Hartlepool	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01		Battersby	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Great Ayton, Nunthorpe, Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01		Hexham	Battersby	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	-1	-2		Great Ayton, Nunthorpe, Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	-1	-2		Matches	-1	-1	-2		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000	Hexham	Middlesbrough	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)			-2	-2	James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes					Matches		-2	-2		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000, 11792920, 21800000	Hexham	Nunthorpe	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	-3	-4		Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	-3	-4		Matches	-1	-3	-4		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000, 11792920, 21800000	Hexham	Whitby	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	-1	-2		Corbridge, Riding Mill, Stocksfield, Prudhoe, Wylam, Blaydon, MetroCentre, Dunston, Newcastle, Heworth, Sunderland, Seaham, Horden, Hartlepool, Seaton Carew, Billingham, Stockton, Thornaby, Middlesbrough, James Cook Marton, Gypsy Lane, Nunthorpe, Great Ayton, Battersby, Kildale, Comondale, Castleton Moor, Danby, Lealholm, Glaisdale, Egton, Gosmont, Sleights, Ruswarp	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	-1	-2		Matches	-1	-1	-2		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21796000, 11792920, 21800000	Battersby	Prudhoe	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)			-1		Great Ayton, Nunthorpe, Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes			-1		Matches			-1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920	Middlesbrough	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1 (PM)	-2	-2		James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	-1 (PM)	-2	-1		Saturday quantum does not match application.	-1 (PM)	-2	-1		Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920	Middlesbrough	Newcastle (via	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1 (AM)	6	6	13	James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	1 (AM)	6	5	13	Saturday quantum does not match application.	1 (AM)	5	5	13	Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920	Newcastle	Middlesbrough	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	2	4	6	14	James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	2	4	6	14	Matches	2	4	5	14	Saturday quantum does not match application		X
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920, 21800000	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	3 (PM)	6	10	-2	Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	No	Yes	1 (PM)	6	7	-2	Weekday Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application.	1 (PM)	5	7	-2	Weekday Peak, Weekday Off Peak, and Saturday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 21796000	Nunthorpe	Hexham	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-2	-4	-5		Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-2	-4	-5		Matches	-2	-4	-5		Matches		X

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds		
									Peak	Off Peak								Peak	Off Peak				All	All						Peak	Off Peak
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 21796000	Nunthorpe	MetroCentre	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1	-2	-3		Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1	-2	-3		Matches	-1	-2	-3		Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21793000	Nunthorpe	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-8	Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes				-8		Matches			-8		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21793000	Carlisle	Nunthorpe	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-2		-2	-6	Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-2		-2	-6		Matches	-2		-2	-6		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21793000	Carlisle	Whitby	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-2	Great Ayton, Ruswarp, Sleights, Grosmont, Egton, Glaisdale, Lealholm, Danby, Castleton Moor, Commondale, Kildale, Battersby, Great Ayton, Nunthorpe, Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes				-2		Matches			-2		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21800000, 11792920, 21793000	Whitby	Carlisle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-2	Great Ayton, Ruswarp, Sleights, Grosmont, Egton, Glaisdale, Lealholm, Danby, Castleton Moor, Commondale, Kildale, Battersby, Great Ayton, Nunthorpe, Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Thornaby, Stockton, Billingham, Seaton Carew, Hartlepool, Horden, Seaham, Sunderland, Heworth, Newcastle, MetroCentre, Wylam, Prudhoe, Stocksfield, Riding Mill, Corbridge, Hexham, Haydon Bridge, Bardon Mill, Haltwhistle, Brampton, Wetheral	Dunston, Blaydon	Yes	Yes	Yes				-2		Matches			-2		Matches		X	
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 11792920, 21791000	Saltburn	Carlisle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Marske, Longbeck, Redcar East, Redcar Central, , South Bank, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Middlesbrough, Thornaby, Eaglescliffe, Allens West, Dinsdale, Darlington, Durham, Chester-le-Street	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 11792920, 21791000	Carlisle	Saltburn	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Marske, Longbeck, Redcar East, Redcar Central, , South Bank, Middlesbrough, James Cook, Marton, Gypsy Lane, Middlesbrough, Thornaby, Eaglescliffe, Allens West, Dinsdale, Darlington, Durham, Chester-le-Street	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				1		Matches				1		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 11792920, 21791000	Hexham	Saltburn	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1			n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	11792920, 21791000	MetroCentre	Saltburn	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1		n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21791000, 21800000	Saltburn	Nunthorpe	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	-1		-1		Gypsy Lane, Marton, James Cook, Middlesbrough, South Bank, , Redcar Central, Redcar East, Longbeck, Marske	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	-1		-1		Matches	-1		-1		Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21811000	Ashington	Newcastle	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-13	Northumberland Park (NE), Seaton Delaval, Newsham, Blyth Bebside, Bedlington	Manors	Yes	Yes	Yes				-13	Matches				-13	Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED01	21811000	Ashington	MetroCentre	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				13	Northumberland Park (NE), Seaton Delaval, Newsham, Blyth Bebside, Bedlington, Newcastle, Dunston	Manors	Yes	Yes	Yes				13	Matches				13	Matches		X		

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds
									Peak	Off Peak								Peak	Off Peak				All	All					
Northern	60th	ED01	21811000	Newcastle	Ashington	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-13	Northumberland Park (NE), Seaton Delaval, Newsham, Blyth Bebside, Bedlington	Manors	Yes	Yes	Yes				-13	Matches				-13	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21811000	MetroCentre	Ashington	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				13	Northumberland Park (NE), Seaton Delaval, Newsham, Blyth Bebside, Bedlington, Newcastle, Dunston	Manors	Yes	Yes	Yes				13	Matches				13	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Newcastle	Morpeth	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	3	12	15	8	Cramlington, Manors	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	12	15	8	Matches	3	12	15	8	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Newcastle	Morpeth	Contingent Dated		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				6	Cramlington, Manors	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes				6	Matches				6	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Morpeth	Newcastle	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	2	14	16	8	Cramlington, Manors	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes	2	14	16	8	Matches	2	14	16	8	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED01	21793000, 21794000	Morpeth	Newcastle	Contingent Dated		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				6	Cramlington, Manors	Dunston	Yes	Yes	Yes				6	Matches				6	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED04	11830820	Harrogate	Leeds	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)	1 (AM)		1	-2	Burley Park, Headingley, Horsforth, Weeton, Pannal, Hornbeam Park	n/a	Yes	No	Yes	1 (AM)		1	-1	Sunday quantum does not match application.	1 (AM)		1	-1	Sunday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED04	11830820	Knaresborough	Leeds	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Burley Park, Headingley, Horsforth, Weeton, Pannal, Hornbeam Park, Harrogate, Starbeck	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED04	11830820	Leeds	Knaresborough	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Burley Park, Headingley, Horsforth, Weeton, Pannal, Hornbeam Park, Harrogate, Starbeck	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED04	11830920	Leeds	York	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Burley Park, Headingley, Horsforth, Weeton, Pannal, Hornbeam Park, Harrogate, Starbeck, Knaresborough, Cattal, Hammerton, Poppleton	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED04	11830920	York	Leeds	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				3	Burley Park, Headingley, Horsforth, Weeton, Pannal, Hornbeam Park, Harrogate, Starbeck, Knaresborough, Cattal, Hammerton, Poppleton	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				3	Matches				3	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821	Beverley	Hull	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Cottingham	Nafferton, Hutton Cranswick	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches		
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821	Scarborough	Hull	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-3	Seamer, Filey, Bempton, Bridlington, Driffield, Beverley, Cottingham	Nafferton, Hutton Cranswick	Yes	Yes	Yes				-3	Matches				-3	Matches		
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Doncaster	Hull	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole	Nafferton, Hutton Cranswick	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Hull	Doncaster	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole	Nafferton, Hutton Cranswick	Yes	No	Yes				0	Sunday quantum does not match application.				0	Sunday quantum does not match application. NR believes NTL is aware and will submit a new S22A SA to correct this.		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Sheffield	Hull	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole, Doncaster, Meadowhall	Saltmarshe	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches	X	X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Sheffield	Beverley	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				1	Cottingham, Hull, Hessle, Ferriby, Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole, Thorne North, Hatfield & Stainforth, Kirk Sandall, Doncaster, Conisbrough, Mexborough, Swinton, Rotherham Central, Meadowhall	Saltmarshe	Yes	Yes	Yes				1	Matches				1	Matches	X	X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Hull	Sheffield	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)			1		Hessle, Ferriby, Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole, Thorne North, Hatfield & Stainforth, Kirk Sandall, Doncaster, Conisbrough, Mexborough, Swinton, Rotherham Central, Meadowhall	Saltmarshe	Yes	Yes	Yes			1		Matches			1	Matches	X	X	
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Scarborough	Sheffield	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				3	Seamer, Filey, Bempton, Bridlington, Nafferton, Driffield, Hutton Cranswick, Beverley, Cottingham, Hull, Hessle, Ferriby, Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole, Thorne North, Hatfield & Stainforth, Kirk Sandall, Doncaster, Conisbrough, Mexborough, Swinton, Rotherham Central, Meadowhall	Saltmarshe	Yes	Yes	Yes				3	Matches				3	Matches	X	X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 11808920, 11816820	Doncaster	Hull	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		2	2		Brough, Gilberdyke, Goole	Nafferton, Hutton Cranswick	Yes	Yes	Yes		2	2		Matches		2	2		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11816820, 11808920, 11838821	Doncaster	Goole	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-2	-2		Brough, Gilberdyke	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes		-2	-2		Matches		-2	-2		Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11808920	Goole	Hull	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		-2	-2			n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes		-2	-2		Matches		-2	-2		Matches		
Northern	60th	ED06	21806000	Hull	York	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Brough, Gilberdyke, Howden, Selby	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	21806000	Hull	York	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				2	Brough, Howden, Selby, Sherburn-in-Elmet, Church Fenton, Ulleskelf	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				2	Matches				2	Matches		X

Operator	SA NO.	Service Group	Service Code	From:	To:	What is the current contractual status of the access rights being sought? I.e. Firm dated, New Rights, Amended Rights, Contingent Dated	Are these current rights held in line with the ECML Policy? Y/N	How long are the rights being sought for? I.e. One TT Period, until expiry date of TAC	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - REGULAR CALLING PATTERN	List the Calling Pattern Being Requested for the associated rights - ADDITIONAL STATIONS	Are the Rights for The Origin & Destination in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML Timetable (TT)?	Are the Quantum of Rights (by Day) in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Are the calling patterns for the access rights in the Application as expected for proposed Dec 25 ECML TT?	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Weekday (left column is Peak, right column is Off Peak)		Sat	Sun	Comments	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds
									Peak	Off Peak								Peak	Off Peak				Peak	Off Peak					
									Peak	Off Peak	All	All						Peak	Off Peak	All	All								
Northern	60th	ED06	21806000	York	Hull	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-2	Brough, Gilberdyke, Howden, Selby	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-2	Matches				-2	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	21806000	York	Hull	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				2	Brough, Howden, Selby, Sherburn-in-Elmet, Church Fenton, Ulleskelf	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				2	Matches				2	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 21806000	York	Bridlington	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		1	1		Bridlington, Nafferton, Driffild, Hutton Cranswick, Arram, Beverley, Cottingham, Hull, Hessle, Ferriby, Brough, Broomfleet, Gilberdyke, Eastington, Howden, Wressle, Selby, Sherburn-in-Elmet, Church Fenton, Ulleskelf	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	1	1		Matches		1	1	Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED06	11838821, 21806000	Bridlington	York	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		1			Bridlington, Nafferton, Driffild, Hutton Cranswick, Arram, Beverley, Cottingham, Hull, Hessle, Ferriby, Brough, Broomfleet, Gilberdyke, Eastington, Howden, Wressle, Selby, Sherburn-in-Elmet, Church Fenton, Ulleskelf	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	1			Matches		1		Matches		X		
Northern	60th	ED06	21806000	York	Hull	Amended Current Rights Held in Contract		TAC expiry (Dec '27)				-1	Brough, Gilberdyke, Howden, Selby	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes				-1	Matches				-1	Matches		X
Northern	60th	ED07	11803920	Sheffield	Huddersfield	New Right(s)		TAC expiry (Dec '27)		1	1		Lockwood, Berry Brow, Honley, Brockholes, Stocks Moor, Shepley, Denby Dale, Penistone, Silkstone Common, Dodworth, Barnsley, Wombwell, Chapeltown, Meadowhall	Elsecar	Yes	No	Yes	1	1		Weekday Off Peak and Saturday quantum does not match application		1 FO	1	1 of the Weekday Off Peak services is FO, quantum does not match application.	X			

Annex C - Access Rights Requested but Not Supported in Application

Service Group Description	Origin	Destination	Day of week	No. of rights requested:	No. of additional rights expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 Timetable	No. of rights required to operate the December 2025 Timetable	No. of rights supported by NR	Comments
ED01.1	Darlington	Salisbury	Weekday Off Peak	2	1	1	1	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal for the December 2025 timetable. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.1	Darlington	Salisbury	Saturday	3	2	2	2	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal for the December 2025 timetable. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.7	Newcastle	Whitby	Off Peak	-1	-1	0	0	NTL has requested to remove more rights than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal, i.e. 0
ED01.7	Newcastle	Whitby	Saturday	-1	-1	0	0	NTL has requested to remove more rights than included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal, i.e. 0
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Weekday AM Peak	4	4	3	3	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Weekday Off Peak	8	7	3	3	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application. NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40.
ED01.3	Carlisle	Newcastle	Saturday	11	9	5	5	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application. NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday AM Peak	1	2	2	1	NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL. To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the next two lines of entry.
ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday PM Peak	2	4	4	2	NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL. To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the previous and next lines of entry.
ED01.3	Carlisle	Middlesbrough	Weekday Off Peak	8	5	5	5	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. To note, the overall additional quantum for the Carlisle-Middlesbrough weekday rights (11) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a different ratio of Peak and Off Peak to what NR would expect to see. This comment links to the two previous lines of entry.
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Weekday PM Peak	2	2	0	0	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested no additional rights in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Weekday Off Peak	10	7	5	5	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application. NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.3	Newcastle	Carlisle	Saturday	11	8	4	4	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, and has included fewer services in their D-40 proposal than both the expected ECML timetable and the application. NR is not supportive of quantum higher than what has been requested at D-40. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Weekday AM Peak	-1	-2	-2	-1	NTL has requested to remove fewer rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and in their D-40 proposal. This will leave NTL with unused rights, which NR would need to review through the Part J process. NR believes NTL should be removing 2 rights. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Weekday PM Peak	1	0	0	0	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.10	Carlisle	Morpeth	Saturday	-9	-11	-11	-9	NTL has requested to remove fewer rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and in their D-40 proposal. This will leave NTL with unused rights, which NR would need to review through the Part J process. NR believes NTL should be removing 11 rights. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.4	Newcastle	Hexham	Saturday	11	10	10	10	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.4	Newcastle	MetroCentre	Weekday Off Peak	3	3	2	2	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.
ED01.4	Newcastle	MetroCentre	Saturday	3	3	2	2	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.
ED01.4	MetroCentre	Newcastle	Saturday	2	2	0	0	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal.
ED01.7	Whitby	Newcastle	Weekday AM Peak	1	0	0	0	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. To note, the overall additional quantum for the Whitby-Newcastle weekday rights (1) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a Peak right, whereas the ECML timetable and the D-40 proposal include an Off Peak right. This comment links to the next line of entry.
ED01.7	Whitby	Newcastle	Weekday Off Peak	0	1	1	0	NTL has requested fewer rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. As part of our representations on this specific application, NR cannot support more quantum than has been requested by NTL. To note, the overall additional quantum for the Whitby-Newcastle weekday rights (1) is aligned across the application, the expected ECML timetable, and the D-40 proposal - however NTL has requested a Peak right, whereas the ECML timetable and the D-40 proposal include an Off Peak right. This comment links to the previous line of entry.
ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Hexham	Saturday	-2	-1	-1	-1	NTL has requested to remove more rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Newcastle (via Sunderland)	Weekday Off Peak	6	6	5	5	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Middlesbrough	Newcastle (via Sunderland)	Saturday	6	5	5	5	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Newcastle	Middlesbrough (via Sunderland)	Saturday	6	6	5	5	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Weekday PM Peak	3	1	1	1	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Weekday Off Peak	6	6	5	5	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NR is not supportive of any quantum above that which was submitted as part of the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED01.8	Newcastle	Nunthorpe	Saturday	10	7	7	7	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 67th SA.
ED04.1	Harrrogate	Leeds	Sunday	-2	-1	-1	-1	NTL has requested to remove more rights than expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then included in their D-40 proposal. If ORR directs the application as submitted, NTL would not have sufficient rights to deliver this service as planned. NR is therefore supportive of a removal of rights in line with the D-40 proposal. NR believes the discrepancy is addressed in the 66th SA.
ED06.4	Hull	Doncaster	Sunday	1	0	0	0	NTL has requested more rights than were expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable and then were included in their D-40 proposal. As outlined in section 9 of the representation letter for this NTL 60th SA application, NR is supportive of quantum that is either equal to or lower than expected for the proposed December 2025 ECML Timetable and included in their D-40 submission
ED07.4	Sheffield	Huddersfield	Weekday Off Peak	1	1	1 FO	1 FO	The application is in line with what was expected for the proposed ECML December 2025 timetable, but NTL has requested more rights than were included in their D-40 proposal. NTL currently holds 17 weekday and Saturday access rights – in the December 2025 timetable there are 17 services Monday-Thursday, and an 18th service on Fridays and Saturdays only (FSO). NR understands from NTL that the existing base Every Working Day (EWD) timetable, covered by the existing 17 rights, is impacted by TRU works, resulting in the FSO service. The additional service they are seeking to introduce is a new 21:35 EWD service. The net position is therefore that NTL appears to require an additional FSO right only, however this is due to the impact of TRU on their base plan and NR understands that NTL has therefore requested +1 weekday right in accordance with their normal base timetable.