Akaash Bhandari Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd 2nd Floor Baskerville House Centenary Square Broad Street Birmingham B1 2ND

Alice Kaiser Office of Rail and Road 25 Cabot Square London WC2B 4AN

06 August 2025

Network Rail Representations for the 32nd Supplemental Agreement submitted under Section 22A of the Railways Act 1993 for the Track Access Contract between Network Rail Infrastructure Limited and West Midlands Trains Limited dated 19 May 2019.

Purpose

This letter provides final representations from Network Rail Infrastructure Limited for the 32nd Supplemental Agreement submitted under Section 22A of the Railways Act 1993 for the Track Access Application between Network Rail (NR) and West Midlands Trains Limited (WMT) dated 19 May 2019.

This representation builds upon the representations submitted by NR for this application on the 28 June 2024 and the two representations relating to West Coast Main Line (WCML) South dated 07 February 2025 and 25 April 2025.

The purpose of this final representation is to provide Office of Rail and Road (ORR) with NR's final position on this application (and the specific access rights within it) and will do so by providing facts, data and evidence to support our position. NR can confirm that based on the facts, data and evidence outlined in this representation, it is supportive for part of this application.

Where there are a number of applications seeking capacity at the locations referred to in this letter, and as detailed in Annex A, the basis of our support of applications either in total, or in part (as can be determined by reading the relevant representations), may have a connection to our position on all other applications at that location. You may wish to wait for final representations on related applications and the information provided therein prior to making your decision.

Background of the Application and Network Rail Representations

In line with ORR's letter of 24 April 2024 to the industry on 'Competing and/or complex track access applications for December 2024, May 2025, and December 2025 timetable changes', WMT submitted this application to ORR on 20 May 2024 as a S22A application in line with ORR's deadline.

As requested by ORR, NR submitted a High-Level Plan in June 2024, and a further detailed plan was published on NR website in August 2024 and updated in January 2025. NR made its initial representations on this application on 28 June 2024 where an initial view of the application Form P and Supplemental Agreement (SA) was provided. On 22 July 2024 WMT responded to NR's initial representations.

In its initial representation on 28 June 2024, NR highlighted a number of items in the "Network Rail Review of Form P and associated documents" section of the letter. Where we have not had a response to the points, we have noted this below for the Operator and/or ORR to address or take into consideration.

The points we would like to highlight from the initial representation and the course of action we require, are as follows:

• The letter stated that 'In Section 3.2 of the Form P it has been highlighted that no safety risks have been identified as part of the application, NR will be undertaking due diligence and necessary assurance to assess any safety risks associated with the proposal.' NR can confirm that, during the consultation for the supported application for these rights (42nd SA), no safety risks were highlighted by NR's governance processes, nor were any raised by the Industry Consultees.

The points we would like to highlight from our recent review of the application are as follows:

- Section 6.1 of the Form P outlining competing passenger services lists WMT's 30th SA as a potential competing aspiration for capacity at Birmingham New Street. The 30th SA has since been formally withdrawn by WMT, and the aspiration for these services no longer need to be considered alongside the 32nd SA.
- Section 6.1 of the Form P outlining competing passenger services lists Alliance Rail as a potential competing aspiration for capacity at Birmingham New Street. Alliance Rail have since withdrawn their Section 17 application with ORR, and the aspirations for these services no longer need to be considered alongside the 32nd SA.
- Section 6.1 of the Form P outlining competing passenger services lists CrossCountry Trains' May 2025 application as a potential competing aspiration for capacity at Birmingham New Street. Timetable capacity analysis has identified there are no unresolvable conflicts between the CrossCountry Trains and WMT services aligned to this application. NR will provide its final representations in relation to CrossCountry's 38th SA at a later date. ORR may wish to wait for final representations on related applications and the information provided therein prior to making any decision.
- Section 6.1 of the Form P outlining competing passenger services lists Wrexham Shropshire & Midlands Railway (WSMR) as a potential competing aspiration for capacity at Birmingham New Street. The conflicts are not relevant at this stage as ORR has formally rejected WSMR's open access application on 3 July 2025. In addition, the

- paths applied for by WSMR did not run via Birmingham New Street as they took a different route through the West Midlands area.
- Section 6.1 of the Form P outlining competing passenger services lists First Rail Stirling (formerly Grand Union Trains Stirling) as a potential aspiration for competing capacity on the WCML. NR can confirm that there are no unresolvable conflicts between the First Rail Stirling services and paths that West Midland Services aligned to this application and both services have been accommodated in the December 2025 timetable.

West Coast Mainline (WCML) General Representation Letters.

Network Rail (NR) can confirm that this application is seeking the proposed access rights at the interacting location WCML: Euston to Nuneaton and therefore the General Representation letters to ORR regarding WCML South Fast Lines dated 07 February 2025 and 25 April 2025 are relevant to this application. We would like to highlight key points which are more pertinent to this application, namely:

07 February 2025 Letter - Timetable Capacity, Timetable Performance, Passenger Flow at Euston Station, High Speed Two; and

25 April 2025 Letter - Performance Concerns Affecting WCML Applications, Power Supply, Congested Infrastructure and Complex and Competing Applications.

Access Rights Contained in the Application as Part of the Interim Approach

All of the rights in this Application were supported under a Section 22 application using the Interim Approach and were applied for the December 2024 Timetable and May 2025 Timetable via the 35th & 42nd SA. All of the rights contained within the 32nd SA are existing services that have been in the Working Timetable prior to December 2024, and were a result of historic inaccuracies uncovered by WMT and NR during a due diligence exercise previously undertaken to ensure an alignment of rights held within Schedule 5 tables against services running on the network.

It should be noted for clarity and completeness that a small number of the rights contained within the 32nd SA don't interact or form any part of the locations which were identified by ORR in their letter to the Industry on 24 April 2024. However, these rights were previously only awarded on a contingent basis, and WMT were keen to ensure a continuation of the rights were applied for, and therefore they have been included within this SA.

Access Rights Sought in the Application

The rights sought in this application are for:

Changes to Rights included in the 32nd SA	Specific locations identified in ORR's
	Letter of 24 April 2024
Reduction of 2 Sunday rights for Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Foregate Street (1.8)	Birmingham
Additional 2 Sunday rights for Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Shrub Hill (1.9)	Birmingham
Reduction of 4 Sunday rights for Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill (1.19)	Birmingham
Additional 6 Sunday rights for Worcester Shrub Hill to Birmingham Snow Hill (1.20)	Birmingham
Additional 1 Weekday right from Coventry to Birmingham New Street (3.26)	Birmingham
Additional 2 Saturday rights from Birmingham New Street to Great Malvern (3.50)	Birmingham
Additional 2 Saturday rights from Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill (3.61)	None
Additional 1 Saturday right for Birmingham New Street to Coventry (3.24)	Birmingham
Additional 1 Sunday right from Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill (3.61)	None
Additional 2 Sunday rights from Northampton to Birmingham New Street (3.28)	Birmingham, WCML
Additional 1 Sunday right from Northampton to Coventry (3.29)	WCML
Reduction of 2 Saturday Rights from Euston to Bletchley (5.3)	WCML
Reduction of 1 Sunday Right from Euston to Bletchley (5.3)	WCML
Additional 1 Weekday (off peak) right from London Euston to Milton Keyes Central (5.4)	WCML
Additional 2 Weekday (off peak) rights from Milton Keynes Central to Northampton (5.25)	WCML
Additional 1 Saturday right from London Euston to Milton Keynes Central (5.4)	WCML
Additional 1 Saturday right between London Euston to Northampton (5.42/110)	WCML
Additional 1 Sunday right between London Euston to Milton Keynes Central (5.4)	WCML
Additional 1 Sunday right between London Euston to Northampton (5.42/110)	WCML

Annex B of this letter contains a table which shows all of the access rights requested in this application.

The Table in Annex B which is appended to this letter provides details of the access rights

characteristics i.e.:

- Origin
- Destination
- Quantum by Day of Week (Peak or Off-Peak)
- Which locations it interacts with from ORR's list of nine locations in their letter to the industry 24 April 2024.

Assurance / Assessments / Updates

The following section will address specific areas of consideration, opportunity and risk relevant to the application or where applicable to specific access rights in the application. Where the outputs relate to specific access rights instead of the application as a whole this will be highlighted in the relevant section.

Capacity

High Level plan and Capacity Assessment of Interacting Rights

Timetable capacity analysis has identified capacity for some of the paths aligned to the Rights being sought by West Midlands Trains Limited (WMT) in their 32nd Supplemental Agreement. (SA)

Network Rail (NR) is not supportive of additional rights where the corresponding path has not been included in an access proposal submitted at D40 for the December 2025 timetable, and/or is not required to support the implementation of the timetable. Following review of this application NR is unable to support the following on this basis.

- Coventry to Birmingham New Street (3.26): WMT apply to increase Weekday Rights from 1 to 2, but NR notes that there is only 1 service in the December 2025 timetable: 2C01 05:33 ex Coventry. Therefore, an additional right is not required to support the December 2025 timetable.
- London Euston to Milton Keynes Central (5.4): WMT apply to increase Saturday Rights from 27 to 28, but NR notes that there are only 27 services in the December 2025 timetable.
- Milton Keynes to Northampton (5.25): WMT apply to increase Weekday Rights from 1 to 3, but NR notes there is only 1 service in the December 2025 timetable: 2Y32 05:37 ex Milton Keynes. Therefore, additional rights are not required to support the December 2025 timetable.
- Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill (3.61): WMT apply to increase Saturday Rights from 0 to 2, but NR notes that there is only 1 service in the December 2025 timetable: 1B28 22:18 ex Great Malvern. NR is therefore supportive of just the 1 Right. However, NR notes there is also a 1B22 18:30 ex Great Malvern which terminates short of Shrub Hill at Worcester Foregate Steet. NR therefore cannot be supportive of an additional Right to Worcester Shrub Hill. WMT will need to progress a separate application for a right between Great Malvern and Worcester Foregate Street as they have not been

included in the statutory consultation processes. Furthermore, this position is in line with ORR's letters to the industry dated, 01 November 2025 and titled "Rail network capacity allocation (access rights) for December 2024 to December 2025" and its letter of 07 May 2025 "New/amended rights (under the competing/complex process) from December 2025".

- Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill (3.61): WMT apply to increase Sunday Rights from 0 to 1, but NR notes that there are no corresponding services in the December 2025 timetable. Therefore, there is no requirement for the additional right.
- London Euston to Northampton (5.42/110): WMT apply to increase Sunday Rights from 17 to 18, but NR notes there are only 17 services in the December 2025 timetable. Therefore, there is no requirement for the additional right.
- Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Shrub Hill (1.9): WMT apply to increase Sunday Rights from 0 to 2, but NR notes that there are 6 services in the December 2025 timetable: 3x Stratford to Worcester Shrub Hill, 3x Birmingham Moor St to Worcester Shrub hill. Therefore, NR is not supportive of these additional rights as they do not align to the services within the timetable. Furthermore, the additional rights required will need to be progressed through a separate application because they have not been included in the statutory consultation processes. Furthermore, this position is in line with ORR's letters to the industry dated; 01 November 2025 and titled "Rail network capacity allocation (access rights) for December 2024 to December 2025" and 07 May 2025 "New/amended rights (under the competing/complex process) from December 2025". as consultation has not taken place.

During our assessments we have identified instances where WMT have applied to decrease their Rights which would leave them with less rights than required to operate the December 2025 timetable. In these cases, NR cannot be supportive of a reduction in Rights as currently stated within the application as they appear to be required to operate the December 2025 timetable.

- Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Foregate Street (1.8): WMT apply to reduce Sunday rights from 10 to 8, but NR notes that there are 9 services in the December 2025 timetable that utilise this right. Therefore, NR would only support a reduction of 1 Right, resulting in 9 remaining.
- Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill (1.19): WMT apply to decrease Sunday Rights from 12 to 8, but NR notes that there are 10 services in the December 2025 timetable utilising this right. Therefore, NR would only support a reduction of 2 Rights, resulting in 10 remaining.

Further, during our assessment of this application, we have identified instances where WMT have applied to either increase their Rights by fewer than required, or decrease their Rights by less than is required, to enable the December 2025 timetable. In these cases, NR can be supportive, but WMT will need to consider making separate arrangements to progress further changes to rights as may be required. We summarise these as follows:

- Euston to Bletchley (5.3): WMT apply to decrease Saturday Rights from 4 to 2, but NR notes that there is only 1 service in the December 2025 timetable: 2B55 19:09 ex Euston. Therefore, NR is supportive of the request to relinquish 2 rights from Euston to Bletchley (5.3) but would expect a further reduction of 1 Right to align with the intended operation of the December 2025 Timetable.
- Euston to Northampton (5.42/110): WMT apply to increase Saturday Rights from 22 to 23, but NR notes that there are 27 services in the December 2025 timetable: 2Yxx services from Euston to Birmingham. Therefore, NR is supportive of the request for 1 additional Right from Euston to Northampton (5.42/110) and would expect a further review of the allocation of Rights between Euston and Northampton to support the intended operation of the December 2025 Timetable.

During assessments potential conflicts were identified between the paths aligned to WMT's 32nd SA and the below applications:

- Freightliner Heavy Haul Limited (FLHH) 28th SA
- East Coast Trains Limited (Lumo North Western) Section 17
- Virgin Management Limited Section 17
- Wrexham Shropshire & Midlands Railway Company Limited (WSMR) Section 17

NR provided representations to ORR concerning FLHH's 28th SA on 11 April 2025, FLHH later withdrew this application. NR provided representations concerning Lumo North Western, Virgin Management Limited and Wrexham, Shropshire & Midlands Railway Company on 09 May 2025. ORR published their decision rejecting these three applications on 03 July 2025.

Performance

During the initial application for these rights which were sought via the 25th Supplemental Agreement (General Approval) and the 29th Supplemental Agreement (SA), Network Rail (NR) considered what performance levels for these services were, and to what level they would be willing to accept in order to support the rights on a long-term basis.

The initial feedback used train running data from the May and December 2023 timetables, and the Performance team had concerns around the majority of the services being sought within that application due to relatively poor On Time and Time to 3 (T3). Whilst it was noted there were occasions whereby an improvement had been seen, they were still not at levels deemed acceptable.

NR's Performance team have since provided refreshed performance data for the routes and service groups pertinent to the rights sought within the 32nd SA. The below shows performance data for service groups EJ01, EJ03 and EJ05, and commentary on NR's position on these rights.

EJ01 Performance December 2024

low 2	On Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
B - Dorridge to Birmingham Snow Hill	89,1%	97.8%	98.7%	1,000
- Kidderminster to Birmingham Snow Hill	88.0%	95.7%	97.5%	5,178
- Learnington Spa to Birmingham Snow Hill	66.0 X	85.2%	89.5 %	1,015
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Birmingham Snow Hill	78.0%	93,4%	95.5 X	2,816
- Whitlocks End to Birmingham Snow Hill	844%	93.6 X	963X	997
3 - Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	76.6 %	86.6 X	89,4%	1,888
- Worcester Foregate Street to Dorridge	81.3 X	91.6 X	94.9 X	35,117
- Worcester Shrub Hill to Dorridge	82.1 %	92.7 %	95.7%	10,302
- Birmingham Snow Hill to Stratford-Upon-Avon	81.3 X	93.5 X	95.9 X	1,725
- Kidderminster to Stratford-Upon-Avon	72.8%	90.0 X	93.0%	2,679
- Learnington Spa to Stratford-Upon-Avon	32.3%	80.2%	93.6%	591
- Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	100.0 X	100.0 %	100.0 %	15
- Worcester Foregate Street to Stratford-Upon-Avon	78.7%	89.7%	93.5%	29,188
- Worcester Shrub Hill to Stratford-Upon-Avon	76.5%	88.0 X	93.0%	6,349
I - Birmingham Snow Hill to Leamington Spa	87.0%	91.1 X	93.5%	1,186
I - Worcester Foregate Street to Learnington Spa	78.4%	88.9 X	93.2%	3,177
I - Worcester Shrub Hill to Learnington Spa	63.2%	81.2%	87.7%	3,374
- Birmingham Snow Hill to Kidderminster	87.7%	93.0%	96.6X	1,320
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Birmingham Snow Hill	56.8%	1000%	100.0 X	44
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Kidderminster	72.6%	87.9%	93.1%	43.954
- Whitlocks End to Birmingham Snow Hill	100.0%	100,0 X	100.0 X	10
- Whitlocks End to Kidderminster	81.5%	94.0%	97.1%	31,122
- Stourbridge In (Town Plat) to Stourbridge Town	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	22.030
- Stourbridge Town to Stourbridge In (Town Plat)	100.0 %	100.0%	100.0%	22.021
- Birmingham Moor Street to Stratford-Upon-Avon	59.5 X	82.9 X	90.7%	1,951
- Birminghom Snow Hill to Whitlocks End	840%	943 X	97.8%	1,010
- Kidderminster to Birmingham Snow Hill	1000%	100.0%	100.0%	26
- Kidderminster to Stratford-Upon-Avon	72.6%	89.3%	94.2%	38,880
- Kidderminster to Whitlocks End	79.4%	91.9X	95.2%	29.256
- Stourbridge Junction to Stratford-Upon-Avon	69.0%	865X	93.4X	3,126
- Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	100.0%	100.0 X	100.0 X	18
- Worcester Foregate Street to Stratford-Upon-Avon	745%	89.2%	93.4%	10.270
- Worcester Shrub Hill to Stratford-Upon-Avon	0.0%	00%	0.0%	34
- Barmangham Snow Hill to Worcester Foregate Street	68.4%	100.0%	100.0 X	19
- Dorridge to Birmingham Snow Hill	100.0%	100,0%	100.0%	11
- Dorridge to Worcester Foregate Street	80.7%	92.2%	95.7%	37.523
- Dorridge to Worcester Shrub Hill	86.0%	94.6%	97.3%	7,916
- Learnington Spa to Worcester Foregote Street	56.0%	82.2%	90.4%	3,160
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Birmingham Snow Hill	100.0 X	100.0 X	100.0 X	22
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Worcester Foregate Street	75.8%	89.9 X	946%	42,926
- Stratford-Upon-Avon to Worcester Shrub Hill	73.2%	88.8 X	944X	14,980

EJ03 Performance December 2024

Flow	On Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
20 - Lichfield Trent Valley HI to Bromsgrove	68.5 %	88.2 ¥	94.2%	76,954
29 - Bromsgrove to Lichfield Trent Valley HI	64.4%	82.9 %	90.7%	74,697
2R - Four Oaks to Redditch		80,9 %	89,2%	74,637
21 - Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham International	88.8%	95,3 %	97,6%	73,652
2U - Redditch to Four Oaks	67.5%	85,8 %	92.5%	70,330
2H - Birmingham International to Rugeley Trent Valley	78.3%	90,1 X	95.3 X	69,805
2W - Walsall to Wolverhampton	80.5%	91.4%	95.7%	65,870
2A - Wolverhampton to Walsall	64.8 X	83.8%	92.6%	64,059
1Y - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	60.2%	829 X	91.1%	47,387
1Y - London Euston to Birmingham New Street	67.7%	82.2%	88.8%	46,102
1M - Hereford to Birmingham New Street	663X	82.0 X	88.9%	30,429
TV - Birmingham New Street to Hereford	62.1%	814X	89.8%	30,329
2Y - Northampton to Birmingham New Street	621% 59.9%	81A% 83.0%	923%	6564
1M - Great Malvern to Birmingham New Street	79.0%	91.8 X	96.5%	6,026
2H - Wolverhampton to Rugeley Trent Valley	68.8 X	83,4%	90,3%	5,673
2H - Birmingham New Street to Rugeley Trent Valley	79,8 X	90.3 X	93,4 X	5,163
1V - Birmingham New Street to Worcester Shrub Hill		77.3%	87.8%	5,090
2W - Wolverhampton to Birmingham New Street	68,8 %	83,5 %	90,8 %	4,595
2A - Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham New Street	88.7%	945 X	96,3 %	4,169
2W - Birmingham New Street to Wolverhampton	77.0 %	89,6 X	95,3 X	4,045
29 - Birmingham New Street to Lichfield Trent Valley HI	57.5 %	79.5%	89,8 X	2,940
1M - Worcester Shrub Hill to Birmingham New Street	65.7%	85.9%	93.5 X	2,549
2A - Walsall to Birmingham New Street	83.0%	926%	96.6%	2,425
2L - Bromsgrove to Lichfield City	72.7%	904%	964%	2304
29 - Lonobridge to Exhibited Trent Valley HI	488%	842%	92.0%	2,304
2P - Longbridge to Lichheld Trent Valley HI 2N - Lichheld Trent Valley HI to Lonabridae	46.8% 78.2%	84.2% 94.3%	92.0% 97.8%	2,250
		7.0.0		2,000
1M - Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham New Street	62.1%	85,1%	92.6 X	2,086
2U - Birmingham New Street to Four Oaks	64.6%	823 X	87.3%	1,998
28 - Worcester Shrub Hill to Hereford	85,7 %	95,4%	98,1%	1,973
20 - Birmingham New Street to Bromsgrove		64.1 %	74.7%	1,962
2U - Bromsgrove to Four Oaks	82.1 %	92.2%	95.5%	1,908
1V - Birmingham New Street to Great Malvern	67.3 %	83.0%	92.2%	1,634
1W - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	73.3 %	89.5 X	96.8 X	1.634
1Y - Birmingham New Street to Milton Keynes Central	31.8%	59.3 %	78.3%	1,621
2Y - London Euston to Birmingham New Street	54.8%	79.3%	88.8 X	1,600
1Y - Milton Keynes Central to Birmingham New Street	72.5%	88./%	929%	1,560
2R - Birmingham New Street to Redditch				
_	75,4 X	87.3 X	92.9%	1,216
2C - Coventry to Birmingham New Street	86.5 X	92.7%	94.4%	1,030
2G - Bromsgrove to Birmingham New Street	78,3 %	92.1%	95.3 X	1,010
18 - Hereford to Worcester Shrub Hill	86,8 %	93.0 N	93,9 X	988
1W - Coventry to London Euston	91.7%	96.5 %	97.9%	714
1W - Rugby to London Euston	66,8 %	83,3 X	88,7%	515
2G - Shrewsbury to Birmingham New Street	61,9 X	75.3 X	84.4%	494
2I - Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham New Street	93,1%	97.7 %	100.0%	175
2H - Birmingham New Street to Walsall	73.2%	96.4%	99.4 X	168
2W - Walsall to Birmingham New Street	95.0%	100,0 %	100.0%	120
1Y - Birmingham New Street to Northampton	71.9%	100.0%	100.0%	96
2T - Witton to Birmingham New Street				
	9.4%	30.6%	57.6%	85
2T - Birmingham New Street to Witton	18.8%	54.7%	65.6%	64
20 - Lichfield Trent Valley HI to Birmingham New Street	32.1%	73.2 X	73.2%	56
IV - Birmingham Snow Hill to Hereford	20.4%	37.0%	53.7%	54
2U - Redditch to Birmingham New Street	87.5%	100,0 %	100.0%	48
2P - Bromsgrove to Four Oaks		77.8%	77.8%	36
1Y - Northampton to Birmingham New Street	68.8 %	100,0 %	100,0%	32
2Y - Milton Keynes Central to Birmingham New Street	6.3%	53.1 %	68.8 %	32
29 - Bromsgrove to Birmingham New Street	100.0%	100.0 %	100.0%	30
1M - Great Malvern to Birmingham Snow Hill	88.0%	960%	96.0%	25
2H - Birmingham International to Birmingham New Street	60.9%	60.9%	82.6%	23
M - Hereford to Birmingham Snow Hill	23.8%	28.6 %	28.6 %	21
1M - Hereford to Worcester Foregate Street	90,0%	100,0%	100,0%	20
2A - Wolverhampton to Birmingham New Street	100,0%	100,0 %	100,0%	20
2R - Four Oaks to Birmingham New Street	50,0 %	50.0 %	50.0%	20
2G - Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham New Street	100.0%	100.0 X	100.0%	19
Y - Birmingham New Street to Bletchley	87.5%	100.0 %	100,0%	16
Y - Northampton to Birmingham International	100.0%	100,0 %	100,0%	12
V - Worcester Foregate Street to Hereford	80.0%	100,0%	100.0%	10
1Y - Birmingham New Street to Coventry	100.0%	100,0 %	100.0%	10
2U - Bromsgrove to Birmingham New Street	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10
2N - Birmingham New Street to Longbridge	100.0%	100,0 %	100.0%	8
2C - Birmingham International to Coventry	100,0%	100,0 %	100,0%	6
1M - Great Malvern to Worcester Foregate Street	100.0%	100,0 %	100,0%	5
1M - Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4

EJ05 Performance December 2024

Flow 2	On Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
18 - London Euston to Bletchley	39.4%	75.8%	84.8 X	33
K - London Euston to Milton Keynes Central	90,0%	100,0 %	100,0 %	40
K - Milton Keynes Central to London Euston	84.6%	100.0 %	100,0 %	13
N - Crewe to Northampton	23.2%	40.2%	51.3%	388
N - Stafford to Northampton	100.0%	100,0 %	100,0 %	12
F - Northampton to Birmingham New Street	94.7%	100,0 %	100,0 %	19
U - Crewe to London Euston	28.4%	51.0%	67.8%	23,700
J - London Euston to Crewe	37.7%	72.6%	84.1%	
J - London Euston to Rugby	40.6%	87.5%	92.2%	64
J - London Euston to Stafford	51.8%	83,3 %	88.4%	645
J - Rugby to London Euston	37.5%	81.3%	100,0 X	32
J - Stafford to London Euston	46,4%	55.5%	72.2%	604
V - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	50,5 %	74.0 %	84.0 X	1,326
V - Coventry to London Euston	65,4%	81,1 X	90.2%	1,320
V - London Euston to Northampton	39.3%	70.2%	83.0%	4,217
V - Rugby to London Euston	47.0%	69.8 X	79.1%	1,363
- Birmingham New Street to Bletchley	80,0%	100,0 %	100,0 X	5
- Birmingham New Street to London Euston	45.7%	71.9%	82.8 X	47,382
- Birmingham New Street to Milton Keynes Central	50.5 X	86.8 X	90.8 X	303
- London Euston to Birmingham New Street	54.1%	80,6 %	88.8 X	52,214
- Northampton to London Euston	47,2%	73.2%	83.5 X	5,213
- Bletchley to London Euston	70.5%	84.1%	90.3%	6,998
- London Euston to Bletchley	57.8%	72.8%	80.7%	7,153
- London Euston to St Albans Abbey	81,0 X	93.4 %	95.2%	693
- Bletchley to London Euston	100,0%	100,0 %	100,0 %	36
- London Euston to Milton Keynes Central	58.3 X	80.5 %	88.7%	69,908
- Milton Keynes Central to London Euston	73.9%	86.8 X	91.4%	65,142
- London Euston to Milton Keynes Central	76.9 X	89.4 X	947%	208
- London Euston to Northampton	58.7%	78.8 %	86.8 X	10,738
- Milton Keynes Central to London Euston	78.1 %	90.6%	100.0 %	32
- Milton Keynes Central to Northampton	100.0%	100,0 %	100,0 %	4
- Northampton to London Euston	76.3%	91.0%	95.0%	7,766
- Bletchley to London Euston	79.5 %	92.3 %	97.4%	39
- Hemel Hempstead to London Euston	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	11
- London Euston to Hernel Hempstead	40.7%	48.1%	55.6%	27
London Euston to Tring	62.2%	82.4%	89.6 X	35,485
- Northampton to London Euston	61,9%	73.0 %	100,0 %	63
- Tring to London Euston	71.3%	85.5 %	90.5%	36,244
- Watford Junction to London Euston	100.0%	100.0 %	100.0 %	8
- Birmingham New Street to London Euston	60,6%	/4.6%	82.1%	3,608
- London Euston to Birmingham New Street	71.6%	88.8%	94.2%	2,244
- Milton Keynes Central to Birmingham New Street	87.5 X	100.0 %	100.0 %	8
- Milton Keynes Central to Northampton	93.3%	97.1%	97.6%	416

EJ01 Performance May 2025

Flow	On Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
28 - Dorridge to Birmingham Snow Hill	94.0%	98.0%	98.0%	250
2B - Kidderminster to Birmingham Snow Hill	83,5 X	91.3 X	94.9 X	1,482
2B - Learnington Spa to Birmingham Snow Hill	86,0 %	95.5 X	96.7%	336
28 - Stratford-Upon-Avon to Birmingham Snow Hill	71.7%	91.0 X	98.2%	678
2B - Whitlocks End to Birmingham Snow Hill	87.9%	95.3 X	98.1 %	257
B - Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	77.8 X	92.9 X	95.2%	505
C - Worcester Foregate Street to Dorridge	78.9 %	88.8 X	92.4%	9,678
C - Worcester Shrub Hill to Dorndge	83,8 X	91.7%	94.5 X	2,819
D - Birmingham Snow Hill to Stratford-Upon-Avan	82,8 X	87,1%		464
D - Kidderminster to Stratford-Upon-Avon	73,0 %	90.2 X	96.6 X	742
D - Learnington Spa to Stratford-Upon-Avon	42.8%	88.7%	943X	159
D - Worcester Foregate Street to Stratford-Upon-Avan	76.7 %	87.2 X	91,4%	7,898
D - Worcester Shrub Hill to Stratford-Upon-Avon	76.3 X	89.0 %	92.9%	1,719
H - Birmingham Snow Hill to Learnington Spa	93,1 X	97,4%	99.7%	392
H - Worcester Foregate Street to Learnington Spa	67.7 %	77.0%	82.9%	848
H - Worcester Shrub Hill to Learnington Spa	60.0 %	81.0 X	91.3 X	940
K - Birmingham Snow Hill to Kidderminster	86,5 X	90.3 X	93.1 %	362
K - Stratford-Upon-Avon to Kidderminster	70.4 %	84.8 X	89.4%	11,557
K - Whitlocks End to Kidderminster	82.4%	91.9 X	95.1%	8,534
P - Stourbridge In (Town Plat) to Stourbridge Town	100,0%	100,0 %	100.0 X	6,168
S - Barmangham Moor Street to Stratford-Upon-Avon	68,7 %	85,1 %	90.3 X	476
S - Birmingham Snow Hill to Whitlocks End	86,4 X	89.6 X	96.0 X	250
S - Kidderminster to Stratford-Upon-Avon	69.0 %	86.4 X	91.9%	10,406
S - Kidderminster to Whitlocks End	77.3 X	89.6 X	93.3 X	8,093
S - Stourbridge Junction to Stratford-Upon-Avon	70,2%	88.0 X	96,4%	808
S - Worcester Foregate Street to Stratford-Upon-Avon	74.6%	83.9 X	88.4%	2,658
V - Dorridge to Worcester Foregate Street	81,5 X	90.5 X	94.8X	10,103
V - Dorndge to Worcester Shrub Hill	83,7 %	90.5 X	94.9X	2,161
V - Learnington Spa to Worcester Foregate Street	73.3 X	91.0%	97.4%	832
V - Stratford-Upon-Avon to Worcester Foregate Street	73,7%	87.8 X	92.5 X	11,396
2V - Stratford-Upon-Avon to Worcester Shrub Hill	70.8 X	86.4%	93.0%	3.960

EJ03 Performance May 2025

ow ‡	On Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
- Shrewsbury to Birmingham New Street	46.2%	662%	77.2%	145
- Great Molvern to Birmingham New Street	825%	93,1%	97.2%	1,700
Great Malvern to Birmingham Snow Hill	66.7%		80.0%	15
Hereford to Berningham New Street	SA1X	76.9 X	862%	9,049
Hereford to Barningham Snow Hill	23.1 N	23.1%	92.3%	13
Hereford to Worcester Shrub Hill	86.9%	92.8%	95.9 X	291
Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham New Street	60.4 X	835X	934X	333
- Worcester Foregote Street to Birmingham Snow Hill	00%	oox	0.0%	4
- Worcester Shrub Hill to Birmingham New Street	60.7%		83.6%	654
I - Worcester Shrub Hill to Birmingham Snow Hill	75.0%	75.0%	75.0 %	4
- Birmingham New Street to Great Malvern	862%	92.8 X	93.4%	391
- Barmingham New Street to Hereford	59.2%	79.5 X	BASK	A,875
- Birmingham New Street to Worcester Foregate Street - Birmingham New Street to Worcester Shrub Hill	67.2%	81.8 X	88.6 X	725
- Birmingham New Street to Worcester Shrub Hill - Birmingham Snow Hill to Great Malvern	AROX	71.5 K	80.6 %	1,375
- Birmingham Snow Hill to Hereford	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4
- Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Shrub Hill	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	17
- birmingham show Hill to Wordester shrub Hill - Wordester Shrub Hill to Hereford	0.0%	0.0X	0.0%	4
- Wordester Shrub Hill to Hereford /- Birmingham New Street to London Einton	854X	967%	98.0 %	609
- Birmingham New Street to London Esisten - Birmingham New Street to Northampton	74.8%	86.2 X	88.8%	448
	93.8 X	93.8%	100,0%	16
- Coventry to London Euston	91,3%	94.9 X	95.9 X	196
- Coventry to Northampton	71.4%	100.0 %	100.0%	7
- Rugby to London Euston	78.5%	941%	95.6%	135
- Birmingham New Street to London Euston	51.3 K	76.1 X	85.9%	12,753
- Birmingham New Street to Milton Keynes Central	28.5%	48.4%	76.0%	438
- Birmingham New Street to Northampton	37.4 X	64.9 X	76.6 X	lafele
- London Euston to Birmingham New Street	498%	764%	RIGE	17,476
- Milton Keynes Central to Birmingham New Street	38.3%	55.9 X	73.9%	433
- Northampton to Birmingham New Street	40.6%	55.1 X	60.3 %	461
- Barmangham New Street to Walsoll	71.0%	83.9 X	90.2%	348
- Hednesford to Birmingham New Street	93.1%	97.2%	98.6X	72
Rugeley Trent Valley to Birminghorn New Street	90.8 X	953 %	973X	487
- Walsall to Birmingham New Street	74.9%	90.9 %	93.3 X	682
- Wolverhampton to Walsall	59.6%	79.9 X	89.9 X	19,053
Birmingham New Street to Coventry	65.2%	79.0%	86.0 X	1,086
- Coventry to Birmergham New Street	92.4%	96.6 %	97.9X	290
- Bromsgrove to Birmingham New Street	SSAN	71.8%	83.9X	280
- Four Oaks to Birmingham New Street	0.0%	50.0%	625%	8
- Lichfield Trent Valley HI to Birmingham New Street	79.9%	93,9 %	96.6X	819
- Redditch to Birminghorn New Street	67.5%	845X	90.6 X	990
- Birmingham International to Hednesford	61.8 X	77A%	86.4%	2,638
- Birmingham International to Rugeley Trent Valley	72.8%	85.5 N	91.7%	17,475
- Birmingham New Street to Hednesford	66.1 X	87.8%	87.8%	115
- Birmingham New Street to Rugeley Trent Valley	78.3 X	86.4%	91.1%	808
Hednesford to Birmingham New Street	96.5 %	100.0 X	100.0%	115
Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham New Street.	93.4%	95.9 %	96.6%	823
Wolverhampton to Hednesford	60.8 X	84.7 %	92.8%	209
- Walverhampton to Rugeley Trent Volley		85.4%	93.1%	1,389
Birmingham International to Birmingham New Street	68.3 X	80.9 X	88.8%	660
Birmingham New Street to Birmingham International	79.8 X	92.8 X	96.9X	891
Hednesford to Birmingham International	86.4%	95.3 X	97.5%	2,517
Rugeley Trent Valley to Birmingham International	85.1 N	92.9 X	96.3 X	17,639
Bromsgrove to Exchileld City	63.0X	76.5 N	82.9%	654
Lichfield Trent Valley HI to Longbridge		78.2 %	90.6%	595
Barningham New Street to Bromsgrove	59.7%	/58X		5/5
Lichfield Trent Valley HI to Bromsgrove	66.8×	863X	93.1X	21,612
Birmingham New Street to Lichfield Trent Valley HI	88.8 X	96.8 X	99.3 X	841
Bromsgrove to Lichfield Trent Valley HI	60.4%	79.3 K	88.5 N	20,904
Longbridge to Lichfield Trent Valley HI	SBBX	81.0 X	92.0 X	638
Birmanghorn New Street to Redditch	85.6%	96.0 N	98.6%	348
Four Oaks to Redditch	61.0 X	783 ¥	87AX	20,843
Witton to Birmingham New Street	0.0%	8.3 K	16.7%	24
Birmingham New Street to Four Oaks	80.0%	91,9 X	947X	570
Bromsgrove to Four Oaks	63.3X	75.9 X	86.3 X	532
Redditch to Four Ooks	64.0%	83.7 N	91.2%	19,595
Birmingham New Street to Wolverhompton	80.1 X	91.3X	95.5 N	870
Hednesford to Wolverhompton	42.5%	62.1 N	78.0 %	214
Rugeley Trent Valley to Wolverhampton	829X	97.0 X	95.9 X	1,456
Wahall to Birmingham New Street	87.5%	91.7%	100.0%	24
Wolsall to Wolverhampton	76.5%	89.1%	94.0X	18,514
Wolverhampton to Einmingham New Street	75.3%	88.8 X	91.9%	850
Birmingham New Street to London Euston	26.0 N	51.3%	66.2 X	936
Birmingham New Street to Northampton	406%	51.3 % 60.7 %	90.2% 72.2%	936
	63.7 X		87.8%	
London Euston to Birmingham New Street		820%		427

EJ05 Performance May 2025

Flow	n Time WTT %	Time to 3 WTT %	Time to 5 WTT %	Recorded WTT Points
1N - Crewe to Northampton	27.4%	51.2%	60.7%	84
1N - Rugby to Northampton	95.0%	100,0 %	100,0%	20
1U - Crewe to London Euston	27.6%	47.2%	63.2%	5,646
1U - London Euston to Crewe	42.3%	70.1 %	79.9%	6,062
1U - London Euston to Rugby	59.5%	88.6 X	93,9 X	990
1U - Rugby to London Euston	45.3%	74.8%	90.6%	971
1W - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	53.8 X	71.4 X	80.9%	392
W - Coventry to London Euston	61.5 X	82.1 %	90,3 %	392
W - London Euston to Northampton	50.1 X	743 X	85,4%	1,226
W - Rugby to London Euston	50.6 X	74.6 X	81.0%	389
Y - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	41.7%	695 X	80.4%	13,629
Y - Birmingham New Street to Milton Keynes Central	51.9%	83.3 X	94.4%	108
Y - London Euston to Birmingham New Street	56.5 X	80.7 %	88.2%	14,917
Y - London Euston to Northampton	59.6 X	81.7%	87,1%	503
Y - Milton Keynes Central to Birmingham New Street	81.9%	87.7%	96.4%	138
Y - Northampton to London Euston	49.1%	823%	90.9%	1,512
Z - London Euston to Milton Keynes Central	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	12
Z - Milton Keynes Central to London Euston	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	12
8 - Bletchley to London Euston	69.7%	85.2 %	90.2%	1,931
8 - London Euston to Bletchley	60.0%	75.3 x	81.3 X	1,956
F - London Euston to St Albans Abbey	85.2%	947%	96.8%	189
K - Bletchley to London Euston	66.7%	100,0 X	100,0 X	18
K - London Euston to Milton Keynes Central	59.1 X	80.5 %	88.7 X	18,873
K - Milton Keynes Central to London Euston	73.2%	86.9 X	92.3 X	17,413
N - London Euston to Northampton	49.3%	69.1%	79.9%	2,980
N - Northampton to London Euston	77.1%	923 X	96,1 %	2,214
T - London Euston to Tring	63.4X	83.2 X	89.0 %	10,132
T - Tring to London Euston	71.5%	85.2%	90.3%	10,373
Y - Birmingham New Street to London Euston	51.3 X	63.7 %	71.4%	985
Y - London Euston to Birmingham New Street	78.7 K	89.4 X	93,3 K	644
Y - London Euston to Northampton	50.0 X	90.9 X	100.0%	22
Y - Milton Keynes Central to Northampton	88.6 X	92.9 X	95.7%	140
Z - London Euston to Northampton	36.8%	59.5 X	76.4%	736
Z - Northampton to London Euston	21.8%	35.5 %	43.0%	774

Below provides a breakdown of the specific metrics with these rights, and NR's position.

Worcester Shrub Hill - Birmingham Snow Hill

For the Worcester Shrub Hill – Birmingham Snow Hill services, performance is generally good and there are no current concerns with the performance levels of these services. Whilst Time to 5 (T5) did see a slight decrease in May 2025, both On Time and Time to 3 (T3) saw slight improvements in the May 2025 timetable, and NR is therefore content to support the rights on a firm basis.

Birmingham New Street - Great Malvern

For the Birmingham New Street to Great Malvern services, the Central Route performance team have concerns with the drop in On Time from departure at Birmingham New Street to arrival at Great Malvern. NR wishes to investigate this decrease further, and work with (WMT) to increase performance levels before supporting the rights on a firm basis. NR would be content to support the right on a contingent basis until May 2026 with no presumption of continuity applied, whilst both parties continue to work on monitoring and improving performance through collaborative Industry agreed working groups.

Birmingham New Street - Coventry

For the Birmingham New Street to Coventry service, whilst performance levels are currently seen as acceptable, there has been a noticeable decrease in all performance levels in May 2025 when compared to December 2024. NR wishes to investigate this decrease further, and work with WMT to increase performance levels before supporting the right on a firm basis. NR would be content to support the right on a contingent basis until May 2026 with no presumption

of continuity applied, whilst both parties continue to work on monitoring and improving performance through collaborative Industry agreed working groups.

Northampton - Birmingham New Street

For the Northampton – Birmingham services, whilst performance levels are currently acceptable, there has been a noticeable decrease in all performance levels in May 2025 when compared to December 2024. NR wishes to investigate this decrease further, and work with WMT to increase performance levels before supporting the rights on a firm basis. NR would be content to support the right on a contingent basis until May 2026 with no presumption of continuity applied, whilst both parties continue to work on monitoring and improving performance through collaborative Industry agreed working groups.

Northampton - Coventry

For the Northampton – Coventry service, whilst performance levels are currently acceptable, there has been a noticeable decrease in all performance levels in May 2025 when compared to December 2024. NR wishes to investigate this decrease further, and work with WMT to increase performance levels before supporting the right on a firm basis. NR would be content to support the right on a contingent basis until May 2026 with no presumption of continuity applied, whilst both parties continue to work on monitoring and improving performance through collaborative Industry agreed working groups.

Services sought on the West Coast Main Line (WCML)

There are rights contained within the application that run across the WCML and NR has recently, on 25 April 2025, sent a representation letter to the ORR outlining our stance on being unable to award any new rights which seek capacity to run along the fast lines between London Euston – Nuneaton. The rights sought on the WCML within this application are all routed along the slow lines, and we are therefore satisfied they won't cause any conflicts or interactions with any existing or new rights being sought to run along the fast lines.

The rights which we are supportive of, NR is satisfied there are no capacity or power supply issues with the rights sought. As outlined earlier in the letter, NR is not supportive of the following rights affecting the WCML as they are not required to support the implementation of the December 2025, the rights detailed elsewhere in this letter are:

- 1 Saturday right from London Euston to Milton Keynes Central
- 2 Weekday rights from Milton Keynes Central to Northampton
- 1 Sunday right from London Euston to Northampton

Furthermore, during NR's assessment of the application, the rights NR is supportive of on a firm basis were reviewed as part of an industry collaborative workstream to review capacity utilisation on the WCML South in preparation for the December 2022 Concept Train Plan. The timetable today still reflects this structure, and the outputs produced for December 2022 were supported by the industry.

Birmingham New Street Performance

Looking at the specific metrics at Birmingham New Street, Passenger performance at Birmingham New Street (BHM) when assessed across the December 2023 timetable was below the national On Time WTT (Working Timetable) performance, at 65.2% Nationally compared to 46.9% at Birmingham New Street. WMT had an On Time of 51.8%. Comparably when assessed against the June 2024 timetable, Birmingham New Street (BHM) was still below the national On Time WTT performance, 61.9% Nationally compared to 42.9% at BHM. Performance had an On Time of 42.9% overall. (WMT) had a On Time of 47.7%.

WMT operates the most services at Birmingham New Street in both timetables and is therefore likely to be most impacted by delay incidents. When assessing the metrics on an hourly basis, performance during 0500-0859 drops from 76.7% to 38% when measuring On Time, and then improves slightly during the day during the off-peak hours, and drops again during the evening peak, 1700-1959, with performance below 35% and gradually improving across the final hours of the day. Similarly in Jun 24, BHM performance by hour deteriorated during 0500-0859, from 73% to 37.5% On Time WTT% and then improves slightly during the day off peak and drops again during the evening peak, 1700-1959, with a performance below 35% with no recovery until 2200.

Overall performance at Birmingham New Street remains challenging, with performance deteriorating during the morning peak, and picking back up after this time, and then seeing a decrease in the evening peak once again before recovering late in the evening.

Therefore, there are some rights NR is only able to support on a contingent basis at this stage until May 2026 with no presumption of continuity applied. NR expects ORR to take this position into account and reflect this in its directions by including the expression of no presumption of continuity.

Power Supply Modelling related to WCML aspirations

Background

Following the receipt of the applications on 20 May 2024, NR has undertaken power modelling of the baseline of all current access rights accommodated in the timetable, rights currently held and expected to be introduced in the immediate timetables, as well as undertaken power modelling of the additional rights applied for on 20 May 2024.

NR has worked with operators to assure the baseline used in the power supply modelling of North West & Central Region accurately represents services as running in normal daily operations. The modelling has been undertaken by Navitas Engineering. This modelling has been completed utilising Vision/Oslo Simulator and assessed in line with BS EN 50163, BS EN 50388, and NR/L2/ELP/27275.

Modelling has also taken into account existing commercial and contractual arrangements with National Grid (Transmission Network Operator (TNO)) and the Distribution Network Operator (DNO), within the context that NR is obligated to not exceed contractual limits between NR and the DNO or TNO.

In addition to this, modelling has been completed in line with the following industry standards and guidelines:

- Transmission Energy Networks Association Engineering Recommendation P24 (the acceptable level of imbalance (Negative Phase Sequence (NPS)) that NR can export onto the power system) as set out by the TNO.)
- Standard BS EN 50388 Annex A for Thermal Loading of assets
- Standard BS EN 50163 Voltage levels

As ORR will be aware, NR is currently seeing an increase in the introduction of bi-mode or trimode trains to be run in electric, replacing older diesel rolling stock. Previously these have rarely given rise to concern as both passenger and freight operating companies have deployed few electric or bi-mode trains. However, this position is now changing and NR as a responsible infrastructure manager is looking at the effective management of this. Due to the nature of power capacity NR may need to impose further operational controls and restrictions on the use of electric or bi-mode trains (which follow existing procedures) and/or may need to object to the introduction of further electric/bi-mode trains where there is not the requisite power capacity.

NR is conscious of its legal and regulatory obligations for power capacity to be allocated in a fair, open and transparent manner and in the least restrictive way possible and are always mindful of our duty of non-discrimination and equal treatment.

In its power modelling, NR has attempted to maximise the capability of the network by assessing a realistic timetable which takes into account aspirations as well as a timetable running under normal operations today and in the expected future timetable (December 2025).

We have modelled a quantum of operations and traction reflective of the actual operational position.

This means that the timetable modelled does not reflect the worst-case scenario should operators choose to exercise their full existing rights to operate at full lengths or in electric (instead of diesel).

By coupling these modelling results with industry-agreed operational controls and mitigations (including restrictions to operating lengths and arrangements with operators to "notch back" through the areas of concern), three particular areas of concern remain:

- Washwood Heath (evening peak)
- Crewe and Weaver (peaks throughout the day)
- Willenhall

In these areas, further consideration is being given to introduction of additional controls as part of operating the current level of services, including potential rejection of some services with rights under electric traction.

Capacity at locations across the wider system, (including Willenhall, Acton Lane, Edgeley, Willow Park, Galton Junction and Heald Green) means that no additional services running in

electric in these areas beyond those for which rights have already been sold can be accommodated until power system enhancements have been delivered. These enhancements are part funded, with outstanding funding subject to ongoing discussions with Department for Transport.

Power Supply Conclusions

NR has undertaken a comprehensive power supply modelling exercise to assess the impact of accommodating all outstanding access proposals for additional electric services against the rights already held by operators (both passenger and freight), on the network's traction power supply capability. The services which WMT operate in electric mode contained within the 32nd Supplemental Agreement have been modelled, and no issues were identified within the modelling.

Any other risks or cross-route concerns

The rights being sought within this application are services which are already in the WTT and have been running on the network prior to the application being submitted to ORR, so these aren't being sought as new services, but the application seeks them as new rights whilst they have only been covered under the interim approach.

Conclusion

In this representation letter we have confirmed that we do support in part the access rights sought in this application.

As highlighted in the letter, some of the rights contained within this application include rights where there are unresolved performance and capacity issues. Therefore, NR is not in a position to currently support some of the rights on a long-term basis.

The rights which Network Rail can support as firm and until contract end date at this stage are:

- 6 Sunday rights from Worcester Shrub Hill to Birmingham Snow Hill
- 1 Weekday right from London Euston to Milton Keynes Central
- 1 Sunday right from London Euston to Northampton
- 1 Saturday right from Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill
- 1 Saturday right from London Euston to Northampton, however NR expects WMT to make a separate application to cover the 4 outstanding rights identified.
- A reduction of 2 Saturday rights and 1 Sunday right between London Euston to

Bletchley

The rights which Network Rail is currently not supportive of at this stage are:

- 1 Saturday right from London Euston to Milton Keynes Central
- 2 Weekday rights from Milton Keynes Central to Northampton
- 1 Weekday right from Coventry to Birmingham New Street
- 1 Saturday right from Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill
- 1 Sunday right from Great Malvern to Worcester Shrub Hill
- 1 Sunday right from London Euston to Northampton
- 2 Sunday rights from Birmingham Snow Hill Worcester Shrub Hill
- A reduction of 1 Sunday right from Birmingham Snow Hill to Worcester Foregate Street
- A reduction of 2 Sunday rights from Worcester Foregate Street to Birmingham Snow Hill

The_remaining quantum of rights sought within this application, NR believes there are still outstanding performance and capacity issues which need to be addressed before we are able to support these on a longer-term basis.

NR therefore proposes that, from the December 2025 timetable change, they are supported on a contingent basis for six months up to May 2026. This will allow NR to assess the issues that have been highlighted in this letter, and work with WMT to apply suitable mitigations, which may include timetable interventions, where required.

The rights which Network Rail is supportive of on a contingent basis until May 2026 with no presumption of continuity are:

- 2 Saturday rights between Birmingham New Street to Great Malvern
- 1 Saturday right between Birmingham New Street to Coventry
- 2 Sunday rights between Northampton to Birmingham New Street
- 1 Sunday right between Northampton to Coventry

NR would like to highlight to ORR that the rights requested in the WMT 28th SA and those requested in the WMT 32nd SA are be reviewed alongside each other to understand the overall quantum of rights that WMT are requesting for the December 2025 TT.

Amendments are being made to service group EJ03 in both the 28th and 32nd application. Consideration will need to be given to the drafting of each Supplemental Agreement and the order in which they are progressed in order to avoid unintended outcomes e.g. undoing any amendments already approved, or alternatively include amendments still subject to a decision in the other/another application.

NR wishes to work with ORR and WMT to achieve the proper intent of each application.

We do not expect ORR to make a direction in support of some of these rights on a firm basis for the reasons outlined within the letter, but should ORR wish to do so we would like to be clear that further discussions will be needed.

If you have any questions or require any clarification on the points raised within the letter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

Akaash Bhandari,
Franchise & Access Manager
Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd

ANNEXES

Annex A – Interacting Locations Matrix

Operator/Application/Type	~	Status of Application	<u> </u>	\ \ 	E J	ই √ চ		 -	eds ~	P ~	V	5
			U MUM		Birmingham	BHIM-Derby	Derby-Shem	Sheffield	ECML&Leeds	Š Š	-	<u>,</u>
Alliance Rail Cardiff - Edinburgh 17		Withdrawn			X	x	x	x	X		x	x
CrossCountry 38th SA 22a		Live			x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x
DBC 81st SA 22a		Live			×	x	x	×	x	x	x	x
DBC 87th SA 22a		Live			x	×	x	x	x		X	X
DCR 2nd SA 22a		Live		x	x	X	x		x	X		
DRS 17th SA 22A		Live		X	x	x	x	x	x		x	x
FLHH 25th SA 22A		Live		x	x	×	×	x	x	×	x	
FLHH 27th SA 22A		Live		x	x	X	x	x	x	X	x	x
FLHH 28th SA 22A		Live		X	×	×	×	×	x	×	X	X
FLIM 21st SA 22A		Live			x	×	x	x	x	x		
FLIM 22nd SA 22A		Live			x	X	X	x	x	X		
FLIM 24th SA 22A		Live		X	x	×	×	x	x	×		
FLIM 25th SA 22A		Live		X	x	X		x	x	X		X
GBRf 25th SA 22a		Live		X	×	×	×	×	x	×		
GBRf 34th SA 22a		Live		X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X
TfW 28th SA 22a		Live			×	х					x	X
WMT 22nd SA 22A		Live			x	x						
WMT 28th SA 22A		Live			x	x						
WMT 30th SA 22A		Withdrawn			х	х						
WMT 32nd (29th) SA 22A		Live)	<	x	x						
WSMR New Contract 17		Live	3	(х	х						

				APPLICATION	INFORMATION								No of Rig	hts applied for			Network Rail's Position on
		te / Start Date for Rights Sought			Was this application submitted before the 20th May 2024 in line with ORR's letter dated 24 April? YIN	I.e. Supported (as requested or agreed by Operator e.g.	originally submitted on	Access Rights Characterist		tics							the access rights sought
	Lead Route / Star		Railways Act Section Rights Applied Under	Supplemental Agreement No.:				Service Group	From:	To:	Weekday		Sat		Sun		Support Firm/Support Contingent/Do Not Support
													Peak (if Applicable)	Off Peak	Peak (if Applicable)	Off Peak	Peak (if Applicable)
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ01	Worcester Shrub Hill (1.20)	Birmingham Snow Hill						6	Support Firm
ains lest Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ01	Birmingham Snow Hill (1.8)	Worcester Foregate Street						-2	Only support -1
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ01	Worcester Foregate Street (1.19)	Birmingham Snow Hill						-4	Only support -2
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Coventry (3.26)	Birmingham New Street		1					Do not support
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2025	S22A	33rd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ01	Birmingham Snow Hill (1.9)	Worcester Shrub Hill						2	Do not support
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Birmingham New Street	Great Malvern				2			Support Contingent
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Great Malvern (3.61)	Worcester Shrub Hill				2			Support firm 1
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Birmingham New Street (3.24)	Coventry				1			Support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Great Malvern (3.61)	Worcester Shrub Hill						1	Do not support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Northampton	Birmingham New Street						2	Support Contingent
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ03	Northampton	Coventry						1	Support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Bletchley						-1	Support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Bletchley				-2			Support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Milton Keynes Central		1					Support Firm
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ06	London Euston	Milton Keynes Central				1			Do not support
st Midlands ins	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	Milton Keynes Central	Northampton		2					Do not support
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Northampton				1			Support Firm
est Midlands ains	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Milton Keynes Central						1	Support Firm
est Midlands	NW&C	PCD 2024	S22A	32nd	YES	Unsupported	NO	EJ05	London Euston	Northampton						1	Do not support