

Revising railway safety regulations: Railway Safety (Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 1997, Railway Safety Regulations 1999 and Railway Safety (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2001

Date published: 8 July 2014 Closing date: 17:00 on 2 September 2014

Q1	Do you agree that we should revoke Regulations 3, 5, 6 and 7 of MPR 97? If you do not support the revocation, please tell us why.
Q1	Response from DRS
	DRS support proposed change.
Q2 Q2	Do you agree that Regulation 4 (Means of Communication) should be retained in its modified form? If you do not support the retention of this provision,
	please say why.
	Response from DRS DRS support proposed change.
ilway Safe	ty Regulations 1999
Q3	Do you agree that we should retain a regulation to mandate the use of a train protection system? If not, why?
Q3	Response from DRS DRS support proposed change.
Q4	What are your views on the proposed changes to the drafting of the regulation on train protection systems? Are there any further changes you feel we
	should make?
Q4	Response from DRS
	DRS always interpreted requirements of these regulations the way proposed changes are. Proposed change makes requirements in question more explicand transparent. DRS do not foresee any further changes
Q5	In the proposed new definition of "relevant approach", should 60mph be converted to 95km/h or 100km/h?
Q5	Response from DRS
	DRS view is that this decision should be made by ORR based on the evidence of perceived risk associated with the subject
Q6	Do you agree that we should retain the regulation to prohibit the use of Mark 1 rolling stock, with the proposed changes to the exemption system? If you do not support the retention, places tell us why?
	do not support the retention, please tell us why?
Q6	Response from DRS



Revising railway safety regulations: Railway Safety (Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 1997, Railway Safety Regulations 1999 and Railway Safety (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2001

Date published: 8 July 2014 **Closing date:** 17:00 on 2 September 2014

Q7	Do you agree that regulation 5 (prohibition of hinged doors) should be revoked? If you do not support revocation, why do you think it should be retained?
Q7	Response from DRS
	DRS support proposed change.
Q8	Do you agree with our approach to issuing exemptions under the new Regulations? If not, please tell us why?
Q8	Response from DRS
	DRS support proposed change.
Railways Saf	ety (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2001
Q 9	Do you agree that the remaining provision in force can be revoked? If not, please tell us why?
Q9	Response from DRS
•	DRS support proposed change.
Impact Asses	ssments
Q10	Do you agree with our assumptions in the impact assessments? If not, please tell us why or if there are there any other factors that you think we should
	take into account?
Q10	Response from DRS
•	Yes, DRS agree.

Enforcement flexibility		
Q11	Do you have any views that would help inform our development of an enforcement flexibility proposal?	
Q11	Response from DRS	
	DRS have no views on this subject	